

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 188 030

CG 014 351

TITLE Organizational Development Workbook. Volume 1.
 INSTITUTION Aurora Associates, Inc., Washington, D.C.
 SPONS AGENCY Department of Health, Education, and Welfare,
 Washington, D.C.
 PUB DATE 80
 NOTE 174p.; For related document see CG 014 352
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC07 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS *Agency Role; *Change Agents; *Change Strategies;
 *Intervention; *Organizational Development; Program
 Design; *Program Improvement; Public Agencies;
 Runaways; Workbooks
 IDENTIFIERS *Youth Department Bureau

ABSTRACT

This workbook presents a proven and practical model of organizational development prepared for the 166 Youth Department Bureau Runaway Youth Program grantees. It is designed to take the user through four phases of organizational development: (1) initiation of the change process; (2) development of organizational change (intervention) plans; (3) implementation of planned organizational improvements; and (4) evaluation of the change effort. Within each of these phases, the instructions and practice instruments needed to assess the relevant information, to analyze that information, and to design an action strategy are provided. Checkpoints, key issues affecting the success or failure of planned organizational change, are included to assist the user in gaining a greater understanding of the importance of his/her leadership style and rational skills in mobilizing organizational resources in support of change agendas. (Author/HLM)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

ED188030

ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

WORKBOOK

Volume I

AURORA ASSOCIATES '80
WASHINGTON D.C.
SAN DIEGO, CA.

YOUTH DEVELOPMENT BUREAU
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES

CG 014351

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Larry L. Dye

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>VOLUME I</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
PREFACE	i
INTRODUCTION	1
GLOSSARY	5
PHASE I - INITIATION	7
● Team Building	
1. Assessment	10
2. Analysis	25
3. Strategy Design	33
● Preparing the Project for Planning	
1. Assessment	44
2. Analysis	59
3. Strategy Design	67
PHASE II - PLANNING	80
1. Resource Articles	81
2. Intervention Plan	95
PHASE III - IMPLEMENTATION	99
PHASE IV - EVALUATION	107
1. Assessment	108
2. Analysis	124
3. Utilizing Evaluation Results	142

PREFACE

This workbook was developed by Aurora Associates, Incorporated for the Youth Development Bureau (YDB) of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare. The Workbook presents, in a single volume, a proven and practical model of organizational development prepared for the 166 Y.D.B. Runaway Youth Program grantees. Responses from these youth service organizations following their introduction to this have led to the reformatting and re-issue of an earlier three volume version of this model in this improved workbook. From the experience gained with this O.D. (organizational development) approach within youth service organizations across the nation it has become clear that the model can effectively fill the pressing need for improved self-management skills and practices for many types of local agencies. The model of planned organizational change and improvement presented here has been successfully adapted and implemented in organizations reflecting the full diversity of Y.D.B. grantees - large and small organizations, single agency and coalition structures, "new" and "old" settings. In many instances, it appears that the goal of this model - to (re)generate energy broadly throughout these organizations committed to improving services and organizational functioning - has been and is being pursued more effectively using the model's approaches and techniques.

The workbook is designed to take the reader through four phases of organizational development:

- Initiation of the Change Process
- Developing Organizational Change (intervention) Plans
- Implementing Planned Organizational Improvements
- Evaluating the Change Effort

Within each of these phases the reader/change agent is provided with the instructions and practice instruments needed to assess the information relevant to that phase, to analyze that information and thereby draw conclusions for action, and to design an action strategy leading to the next phase of O.D. Key issues which commonly affect the success or failure of planned organizational change are highlighted in the text at critical steps - these "check points" are provided to aid the reader/change agent in gaining a more in-depth understanding of the crucial importance of his/her leadership style and rational skills in mobilizing organizational resources in support of change agendas. To further assist the reader in understanding the concepts and techniques of the O.D. approach, a Case Study Workbook has been provided to accompany this self-instructional volume. The Case Study Workbook depicts the efforts of a fictitious youth service agency in applying the model and instrumentation. It is intended that the completed forms presented in the case example of "The Ridge" will facilitate the reader's competence in applying these instruments to his/her own organizational setting.

This workbook (accompanied by the Case Study Workbook) is designed, therefore, such that the reader is asked to simultaneously learn and utilize the processes of organizational development through the application of these processes to his/her real-life organizational environment.

The authors wish to highlight some of the values and perspectives which serve as the foundation for the consensus-building approach to organizational development presented in the pages which follow. These comments are provided as a partial response to questions from those who have previously practiced this design, questions which sought to determine the following:

- "What is Organizational Development?"
- "What are the value-sets inherent in this particular O.D. approach?"
- "What is it about "these kinds" of organizations which prompts the need for improving O.D. leadership and skills, and how might I and my organization look to benefit from O.D.?"

The statements below are taken from the Introductory section in the original Workbook version of this process. Hopefully, the reader will find some response to his/her own version of these questions.

"The Aurora Organizational Development Workbook was developed to assist Youth Development Bureau grantees to creatively manage change within their own projects. The Workbook proposes a particular Organizational Development approach which is based on building consensus within an organization to create and manage change. By providing information, skills and resources in the processes of this approach to organizational development, Aurora T/TA staff are training grantee participants in the steps of continually building stronger projects to meet the needs of youth and families in their communities. By definition, organizational development is a long range effort to improve organizational capabilities in solving problems and coping with changes in its external environment through the help of external or internal consultants or change agents. Aurora T/TA staff assume that if grantee participants choose to accept a leadership role as an internal consultant or change agent and follow the Aurora approach to organizational development, the projects will be in a better position to meet their stated goals.

Aurora staff have designed this particular approach to organizational development based on the following factors. In our collective experience as youth agency planners, administrators and network members, we have participated in organizational development and planning processes which have had as common themes consensus building and change through core planning teams. It is from this perspective, that we developed this process. As we and others in the youth services "movement" have experienced the efforts of organizational and community change, we have increasingly

turned to the applied social sciences and change theorists like Warren Bennis, Ron Lippert, Havlock et al. The core concepts and language of this workbook have come from this body of organizational development and social change theory and practice.

These two sources for this workbook (personal experience and organizational development/social change theory) came together most clearly in the introduction of Aurora's Training and Technical Assistance proposal to the Youth Development Bureau. The following is a description of our view of the challenges which have faced and will continue to face alternative youth and family services.

'Alternative agencies have historically exhibited a wide range of organizational structures and management styles. The willingness to experiment and the absence of an entrenched internal system has allowed programs to seek, develop and discard various structures until one was found that was compatible with the value base of the agency. The belief in a participatory decision-making process was a pervasive characteristic of alternative agencies. Generally, it was believed that this feeling of ownership on the part of all program staff increased their level of responsibility and provided staff with more meaningful and satisfying work experiences. Another argument for participatory decision-making rested on the belief that input from clients and staff providers would lead to more valid program policies, policies that reflected a more humanistic approach to the problem young people face.

An important element in the evolution of the organizational structures of alternative agencies is the changing values within the alternative movement. Many agencies were started by groups of individuals with commonly held visions and perspectives. Some were founded by a single charismatic individual with the devoted assistance of several disciples. As personnel changed, the initial, common value set of the founders was often whittled away, leaving disparate values among an increasingly disparate staff. Sometimes serious conflicts emerged.

Alternative agencies have not been immune to the forces of organizational inertia that can best be described as "the bureaucratic slide". As agencies grow older and more complex, the desire for efficiency begins to emerge and subsume the competing desire for participatory decision-making. There is a greater amount of role differentiation among the staff, as individuals' natural abilities and job preferences emerge and people are assigned or assume tasks that are more congruent with their aptitudes.

Though not all agencies have hierarchical management systems can be categorized as traditional, all traditional agencies have hierarchical management systems. Traditional agencies both public and private, have structures with decision-making power trickling down from the chief executive officer through middle management to the line staff. Consumers of services will generally be found on agency flow charts at the base of the pyramid.

In recognition of the need of alternative agencies to tighten their structures and of traditional agencies to add more flexibility, there has been a flurry of interest in the development of administrative and management skills. A particularly notable need is for an understanding of the functions, roles, skills, and potentials of middle management.

In societies which purport to distinguish facts from values, planning cannot process effectively without a data base. Most youth services planning continues to be plagued by inadequate or non-existent systems to select, collect, analyze, evaluate, and disseminate information relevant to both program and systems planning.

Aurora staff have designed this Workbook as a resource for projects to assist them in creating planned and purposeful change. Aurora's purpose is to serve as a resource in the promotion of effective service to youth and their families. As such, we respect project differences and view these variations as resources that can be shared, rather than deviances which must be corrected. In this same view, Aurora is presenting a process of organizational development to grantees. It is certainly not the only process, but one which we know has worked for us. We ask you to "practice" this process and determine whether this (or modifications) can be effective in your project.

We are aware that organizational development processes create change which is sometimes painful and "hard". Yet, we propose to demonstrate that change may also be anticipated, nurtured and enjoyed."

INTRODUCTION

This workbook is designed to be used with an accompanying volume, A Case Example of Organizational Development. When supported with this second volume, this Workbook will provide the reader with practice in the concepts and skills required to initiate an organizational development effort in their own agency, program, or organizational component. At this point, it is recommended that the reader refer to the Glossary, located at the end of this Introduction, for a definition of organizational development and of other key terms employed throughout the Workbook.

The following assumptions were made in developing this Workbook:

1. The reader is prepared to spend several hours reading and practicing with this text.
2. The reader has some prior experience, in either clinical or organizational settings, in performing as a group leader.
3. The reader has an explicit desire and intention to serve as a catalyst and initiator in improving his/her organizational environment.
4. The reader has access, in his/her organization, to co-workers and/or other organizational members with whom to discuss and consider organizational needs and improvements.

Structure of the Model

In order to introduce the reader to the overall structure and sequence of the Aurora Organizational Development Model, the following chart of Phase descriptions is provided:

The Phases of an Organizational Development System

The Aurora Organizational Development Model specifies four distinct phases that are necessary to complete the OD process. These four are:

• Initiation

This phase includes two major steps: team building and preparing an organization for planning. The purpose of this phase is to build a "consensual reality" among organization members regarding the current status of the organization and around the need to engage in formal planning.

• Planning

This phase includes the development of a written intervention plan that is widely accepted by members of the organization and, additionally, outlines the methods through which this plan will be implemented.

- Implementation

The purpose of this phase is to turn the written plan into a reality. Important in this phase is the development of periodic monitoring or check points to determine if the intent of the plan is being followed, or if modifications are needed. Changes occurring in the plan during implementation require organizational consensus.

- Evaluation

This phase includes the assessment and analysis of data collected during the implementation phase. The evaluation framework, which specifies the data to be collected, has been developed in the planning phase.

The purpose of this phase is to determine the outcome and process of the planned approach. The evaluation phase serves as further data which is to be incorporated into future planning efforts.

Using the Workbook and Case Example

The approach recommended in using the Workbook and Case Example is as follows:

1. Read this Introduction and the attached Glossary.
2. Read the Case Example book through, at least once, to gain an overall sense of the format and the terms used.
3. Keeping the Case Example available as a reference, turn to the opening section of the Workbook (Phase I) and follow the self-instructing practice directions through to completion of "Phase I: Initiation/Team Building." After completing this section, practice implementing your Team Building strategy.
4. Complete Phase I, Phase II, and Phase III in order, using the Case Example to clarify your understanding of the concepts. At the conclusion of Phases I and II implement the strategies you (and your fellow team members) have designed. By Phase III you will have engaged the energies of a large cross-section of your organization in creating and implementing plans for organizational change and improvement.

NOTE: Phases I and II may extend anywhere between one and four (or more) weeks, depending on your leadership pace and organizational environment. It is recommended that the Intervention Plan (Phase III) be implemented over a 6 - 12 month time span.

5. At the conclusion of your scheduled period of planned organizational development, return to this Workbook and complete Phase IV, which will require several hours from you and others taking a leadership role during the change effort.

6. The process of organizational development is conceived of, and presented in this model, as a cyclic process which should be nurtured and sustained in any dynamic, self-directing organization. From this perspective the completion of Phase IV, above, should lead naturally and directly back to the commencement of the process anew, beginning with Phase I.

The Results and Processes of the Organizational Development Model

The model presented here is generic - its steps and concepts may be usefully employed in any organization where values exist which are compatible to those discussed in the Preface. Therefore, in describing the model's processes and results it is possible to describe them only in general terms - the particulars of the activities and accomplishments your organization experiences as you apply this model will be defined in the process of your efforts. However, a statement of the processes and outcomes inherent in the model should aid the reader in beginning to understand the concepts to be practiced. These processes and outcomes are presented in this Introduction in the form in which they will be employed in the Evaluation section of this Workbook. At the conclusion (6 - 12 months from now) of your practice with this model, you will evaluate your success in replicating the standards and criteria found in the Organizational Development Evaluation Framework located on the following page.

ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION FRAMEWORK

Phase I: Initiation of the Organizational development process

Standard A: The project is ready to engage in a planning process.

Criterion 1: Key group(s) or individual(s) have/has assumed leadership and been sectioned for ensuring the initiation of a planning process

Criterion 2: A planning process for formal project planning has been identified.

Criterion 3: Resources which are sufficient for the project to engage in a planning process are allocated.

Criterion 4: Feedback has been utilized to revise the strategies to prepare the project for planning.

Phase II: Project Planning for Organizational Development

Standard A: The project has engaged in a planning process.

Criterion 1: The project collected data with which to plan.

Criterion 2: The project has determined the critical issues affecting the project.

Criterion 3: Future goals have been set.

Criterion 4: An action plan has been developed.

Criterion 5: A mechanism for collecting feedback during the course of plan implementation has been designed.

Standard B: A Project Intervention Plan exists.

Criterion 1: There is a written Project Intervention Plan.

Criterion 2: The Plan defines the project status at the time of the Plan's development.

Criterion 3: The Plan defines the desired future status of the project.

Criterion 4: The Plan defines the means to accomplish the desired future status.

Criterion 5: The Plan defines a mechanism to monitor and evaluate Plan implementation and results.

Criterion 6: The Plan defines a mechanism for periodic update.

Phase III: The Implementation of the Project Intervention Plan

Standard A: The Intervention Plan has been implemented as proposed.

Criterion 1: The Project Intervention Plan has been implemented according to its proposed schedule.

Criterion 2: The resources identified in the Plan were utilized as proposed.

Criterion 3: Feedback has been obtained regarding Plan performance and accomplishment as proposed in the Plan.

Criterion 4: Feedback has been utilized to update the Plan in accordance with the update process proposed.

Standard B: The project has achieved its desired future status.

Criterion 1: The project's intended goals have been met.

Criterion 2: The critical issues affecting the project, as defined in the Plan, have been resolved.

GLOSSARY

The following are definitions of terms frequently used throughout this workbook:

Board Structure/Relationship - Your organization's governing body; what it is and how it works.

Community Background - The definition of factors outside of your organization's relations with the "outside world."

Comprehensive Resource Application Packet - After the first Aurora Regional Workshop, you (or your project) submitted this to Aurora. It includes: 1) a definition of your planning process, 2) your project intervention plan, 3) your project evaluation design, and 4) your request for Aurora T/TA resources.

Criteria - A specific aspect of a standard which helps to more precisely define the standard.

Decision-Making - The process by which choices are made within your organization.

Evaluation - A methodology used to determine the outcomes (ie. what is intended to happen, what happened, and the difference between the two) and the process (ie. what was designed, what activities were engaged in, and the differences between the two) of a particular planned approach.

Indicator - A specific set of data which documents the extent to which a specific aspect of a criterion has been met.

Leadership - The formal and informal forces of influence within your organization.

Organizational Analysis - The process of determining the central issues involved in the data collected during Organizational Assessment.

Organizational Assessment - The process of collecting information about an organization, using specific guidelines (assessment framework).

Organizational Assessment Framework - A set of categories used to guide the collection of descriptive information about an organization.

Organizational Climate - The attitudes, relationships, and environmental conditions of your organization.

Organizational Development - A long-range effort to improve organizational capabilities. This approach may be described as having several phases: Initiation (Intervention Team Development; Preparation of the program for formal organizational development planning), Planning, Implementation, and Evaluation. To carry out each of these phases several activities are conducted. These are: organizational assessment, analysis, strategy design, and implementation.

Organizational Intervention Strategy - A set of action steps designed to engage key groups/individuals in rational program planning.

Organizational Intervention Team - A nucleus of program personnel around whom a commitment for organizational development can be created throughout the program.

Organizational Values - The basic philosophical principles upon which your organization was founded and which serve as the foundation upon which your program is currently operated.

Planning Process - A description of how your agency organizes itself to resolve present and future problems.

Policy Formation - A description of the processes by which the guidelines for organizational decisions are set.


Program Design - The services your program provides and how they are organized.

Program Development Specialist - An individual skilled in the assessment and analysis of an organization, and in designing and implementing strategies for the improvement of the organization.

Program History - The story of your organization from its creation to the present.

Standard - A set of conditions or a general principle against which a judgement can be made to determine whether a particular goal or objective has been achieved.

The O.D. Model



INTRODUCTION TO PHASE I: INITIATION

This phase includes the two major sections, team building and preparing a project for planning. The overall purpose of this phase is to build a "consensual reality" among organization members regarding the current state of the organization and the need to engage in formal planning.

Team Building, the first major section, is for the change agent to develop by her/himself. It included the following specific steps:

Assessment - Defining the current state of affairs in the organization from the change agent's perspective.

Analysis - Defining the future ideal state of the organization and the barriers and opportunities for getting there from the change agent's perspective.

Strategy Design - Determining who are the influential people in the organization who agree that change is needed, and designing a team. Again, this is done by the change agent.

Strategy Implementation - Gaining the commitment of identified Intervention Team members to work together.

The team building step then is developed entirely by the change agent. It is important for the change agent to know what is (assessment) and what could happen (analysis) with the organization before organizing people to engage in organizational development. However, the change agent must also realize that in this process of building consensus, other perspectives of the organization must be taken into account.

Therefore, the next major section of the Initiation Phase is Preparing the Project for Planning. This is done by the Intervention Team identified by the change agent. The change agent facilitates with the team the following specific steps:

Assessment - Defining the current state of affairs in the organization from the team's perspective. (This is the first step in consensus building.)

Analysis - Defining the future ideal state of the organization and the barriers and opportunities for getting there - all from the team's perspective. (In order for this process to work, the change agent might have to "give up" some ideas, not ideals, in order for the team to come to a consensus.)


Strategy Design - Determining who else could be included on the team, people who share a commitment to organizational planning, as well as designing plans for securing formal sanction and support for organizational planning. (This building step provides

for more input and continues to build consensus and commitment in the organization.)

Strategy Implementation - Gaining commitment from all organization members to engage in planning and developing a planning process.

This Initiation Phase can be simply stated as "planning to plan." It takes into account both the needs and resources of the organization as well as the interpersonal dynamics of its members.

In the pages that follow, you will be progressing through "Team Building" by the steps of assessment, analysis, and strategy design. Then, you will repeat those same steps, this time with a team, in the "Preparing a Project for Planning" section.



Initiation Phase / Team Building - Step I: Assessment

The key steps in performing an organizational assessment are:

- Definition of a broad FRAMEWORK to be used in collecting and compiling information to describe the organization being assessed, and;
- Collection of INFORMATION about the organization from sources, available to those performing the Assessment, within and around the organization, and;
- COMPILING (organizing) the available information within the categories defined in the Assessment Framework.

Organizational Assessment is the process of collecting descriptive data about an organization's structures, functions, processes, and resources and compiling the information into some pre-defined assessment categories. The change agent performing the assessment must determine those aspects of the organization which are most significant in describing functions, etc. These aspects make up the Assessment Framework. Assessment is the first step to be undertaken by an organization in establishing a formal Organizational Development process.

ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK

INSTRUCTIONS: READ THESE QUESTIONS. ADD ANY OTHER QUESTIONS WHICH YOU FEEL ARE IMPORTANT. ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS ON THE INSTRUMENT THAT FOLLOWS THESE PAGES.

I. ORGANIZATIONAL VALUES:

- a. What do you perceive as the organizational values?
- b. How do you perceive that these values have changed during the past several years?
- c. Are the value conflicts apparent?
- d. Who "carries" (and has "carried") the key organizational values?
- e. What values are widely agreed with throughout the organization? Which are disputed?
- f.
- g.

II. PROGRAM DESIGN:

- a. What services are provided? To whom (target population)?
- b. What services and target population changes have occurred over the past several years?
- c. What is the "strongest" service currently provided? The weakest?
- d. What is the service capacity? How many are actually served?
- e.
- f.

III. COMMUNITY BACKGROUND:

- a. Is the community rural, urban, suburban?
- b. What are the dominant socio-economic realities defining the community?
- c. Who are the major community power groups?
- d. What are the principal human service needs in the community?
- e. What are the community attitudes toward youth?
- f.
- g.

IV. PROGRAM HISTORY:

- a. How did the program get started? Who started it?
- b. What community institutions were involved in founding the program?

continued

- c. What was the original funding?
- d. Who were the key project founders? Are any remaining involved with the program?
- e. How long ago was the program founded?
- f. What was the original program purpose?

g.

h.

V. PLANNING PROCESS:

- a. Does the program have an identified planning process?
- b. How often do planning events occur? Are there weekly/monthly/annual/multi-year program plans?
- c. Who is involved in program planning?
- d. Who evaluates program performance? What do they evaluate?
- e. When was the last evaluation done? What was the outcome of the evaluation?
- f. How are day to day problems solved?
- g. How are major problems solved?

h.

i.

VI. BOARD STRUCTURE/RELATIONSHIP:

- a. What is the Board composition?
- b. Does the Board reflect community composition?
- c. How involved is the Board? How active? How often does it meet?
- d. What are mutual Board/staff feelings about each other?
- e. What is the Board's most recent (within one year) outstanding accomplishment?
- f. Is the membership stable?

g.

h.

VII. POLICY FORMULATION:

- a. Who is formally responsible for making policy decisions?
- b. Who actually makes policy?
- c. What process are used to develop policy?
- d. What information is obtained in the policy-making process? For whom?

continued

- e. Are policy makers involved in program planning?
- f. Do program plan reflect prior policy decisions?

g.

h.

VIII. COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT:

- a. Who is formally responsible for program visibility in the community?
- b. What element of the community has the highest respect for the program? The lowest?
- c. Is community input sought in program planning and evaluation? How? By whom?
- d. To what extent is the program known in the community?
- e. To what extent does the program cooperate with other human service agencies?
- f. Does the program take responsibility to initiate cooperation with other human service agencies?

g.

h.

IX. RESOURCES:

- a. What is the current budget? Where does it come from? By type, by amount.
- b. How many people are at the project? Paid staff? Volunteer staff?
- c. Are the resources growing, shrinking, or staying the same?
- d. Who is responsible for the resources that are there?
- e. Who controls the resources?
- f. How are resources used?

g.

h.

X. LEADERSHIP:

- a. Who is the formal leader(s) of the project?
- b. Who is the informal leader(s)?
- c. Is the leadership: Crisis oriented? Maintenance oriented? Creatively oriented?
- d. What is the overall leadership style and how does it effect program functioning?
- e. Is the leadership there by: Force? Default? Inheritance? Delegation?

continued

f. Is the leadership: Autocratic (controlling)?
Democratic (cooperative)? Laissez-Faire (little if
any direction)?

g.

h.

XI. ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE:

a. Do staff take responsibility within the organization?
Do staff take risks?

b. Do staff feel rewarded/compensated for their work?

c. Do staff feel they have an opportunity to be creative
in their work?

d. Do staff have a commitment to teamwork and creative
compromise?

e. Describe the organizational climate. What are the
positives and negatives?

f.

g.

XII. DECISION-MAKING:

a. How are major decisions which affect the entire agency
made?

b. Are individual staff given the authority to make deci-
sions within policy guidelines?

c. Who has the most influence on decision-making in the
project? Who has the least?

d. Do staff generally respect the major decisions made?

e. Are major decisions announced or developed with input
from the staff?

f.

g.

CREATING YOUR PROJECT CASE ASSESSMENT AS THE CHANGE AGENT

INSTRUCTIONS: USING THE ASSESMENT FRAMEWORK AS A GUIDE,
THINK ABOUT THE QUESTIONS POSED AND
WRITE UP YOUR PROJECT CASE.

ORGANIZATIONAL VALUES:

PROGRAM DESIGN:

COMMUNITY BACKGROUND:

PROGRAM HISTORY:

PLANNING PROCESS:

BOARD STRUCTURE/RELATIONSHIP:

POLICY FORMULATION:

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT:

RESOURCES:

LEADERSHIP:

ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE:

DECISION-MAKING:

--STOP--

USING THE INSTRUMENT ON THE FOLLOWING
PAGES, REFLECT UPON THE ORGANIZATIONAL
ASSESSMENT WHICH YOU HAVE JUST COMPLETED.

TURN TO NEXT PAGE

32

LEADERSHIP PROCESS:
CLARIFYING LEADERSHIP VALUES AND BIASES IN
ORGANIZATIONAL ASSESSMENT

1. READ THE STATEMENT BELOW WHICH DESCRIBES COMMON BIASES IN THE ORGANIZATIONAL ASSESSMENT PERFORMED BY LEADERS WITHIN AN ORGANIZATION.


Factors affecting one's judgements when performing an organizational assessment and analysis are:

- a. the purposes (real or imagined) for which the assessment is being made,
 - b. concern over who might gain access to the assessment data and the analysis results prepared, and
 - c. biases in the data collection process(assessment), including:
 - observational biases which derive from one's situation in the observed organization and one's resultant access to, and familiarity with, certain organizational activities,
 - biases associated with one's formal organizational role and responsibilities from which one is either unable to disassociate oneself or (because of value biases) one is unwilling to do so, and
 - insufficient and/or incomplete data available (closely related to the first issue); this may result from organization secrecy/defensiveness.
2. RE-READ THE STATEMENTS YOU HAVE MADE ON YOUR ORGANIZATIONAL ASSESSMENT. NOTE HOW AND WHERE YOUR OWN BIASES AFFECTED YOUR "FINDINGS."
 3. AFTER CONSIDERING THE EFFECT OF BIAS ON YOUR ASSESSMENT, MAKE THOSE REVISIONS YOU THINK MINIMIZE THE UNDESIRE EFFECT OF THESE BIASES.

--STOP--

KEEPING IN MIND THAT YOUR IMMEDIATE
OBJECTIVE IS TEAM BUILDING, PROCEED
WITH THE NEXT PHASE, ORGANIZATIONAL
ANALYSIS.

TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE



Initiation Phase/Team Building- Step II: Analysis

The key steps in performing an ANALYSIS of organizational assessment data are:

- IDENTIFICATION OF ORGANIZATIONAL PROBLEMS;
- IDENTIFICATION OF BARRIERS TO PROBLEM SOLUTION;
- IDENTIFICATION OF ORGANIZATIONAL CAPABILITIES TO OVERCOME BARRIERS;
AND
- PRIORITIZATION OF PROBLEMS AND IDENTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBLEMS
(OR PROBLEM CLUSTERS).

Organizational Analysis is the process of determining the core (or pivotal issues) imbedded in the data collected during Organizational Assessment. Analysis requires reasoned judgements to be made by those reviewing the organization and interested in organizational development. To be complete, the values underlying the "reasoning" should be known to the analyst(s). Organizational Analysis can assist an individual in developing a nucleus of project personnel around whom a commitment for organizational development can be created throughout the program (ie. organizational intervention team).

4. FOR EACH BARRIER, IDENTIFY CAPABILITIES WHICH YOU PERCEIVE THE PROGRAM POSSESSING WHICH COULD BE MOBILIZED TO OVERCOME THE BARRIER AND LEAD THE PROGRAM CLOSER TO THE IDEAL NOTED IN COLUMN 2.

(CAPABILITIES MAY BE: AVAILABLE INFORMATION, SYSTEMS, PEOPLE, FINANCIAL RESOURCES, SKILLS, ETC.) NOTE THESE CAPABILITIES IN COLUMN 4 OF THE ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT.

5. REVIEW THE INFORMATION WHICH YOU HAVE DEVELOPED ON THE ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT AND IDENTIFY THOSE PROBLEMS WHICH ARE CRITICAL TO PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT IN THAT THEY ARE:

- A. PIVOTAL PROBLEMS WHICH ONE OR MORE OTHER PROBLEMS SEEM TO BE DEPENDENT ON, OR EVEN CAUSED BY, OR
- B. IMPACTABLE PROBLEMS FOR WHICH THE RESOURCES APPEAR RELATIVELY AVAILABLE, BUT NOT YET IDENTIFIED AND MOBILIZED.

ORGANIZATIONAL ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT

[illegible]

--STOP--

WE NOW ASK YOU, AS THE CHANGE
AGENT, TO REFLECT UPON THE OR-
GANIZATION ANALYSIS WHICH YOU
HAVE JUST COMPLETED.

TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE

LEADERSHIP PROCESS
CLARIFYING LEADERSHIP VALUES AND
BIASES IN ORGANIZATIONAL ANALYSIS

1. **READ THE STATEMENT BELOW WHICH DESCRIBES COMMON BIASES IN THE ORGANIZATIONAL ANALYSIS PERFORMED BY LEADERS WITHIN AN ORGANIZATION:**

Factors affecting ones judgements when performing an organizational analysis are:


- a. inadvertant data collection errors which are not discovered and which are considered at face value in the process of analysis,
- b. lack of a clear understanding and/or acceptance of an analytical approach; this can derive from confusion and/or a lack of knowledge regarding rational problem solving skills;
- c. unstated assumptions and values serving as the basis for analytic judgements and interpretatations,
- d. insufficient resources (time, people) to complete a careful examination of all available data coupled with a willingness to complete the analysis in spite of this shortage, and
- e. defensive investment in one or more aspects of the organizational situation being scrutinized.

2. **RE-READ THE STATEMENTS YOU HAVE MADE ON YOUR ORGANIZATIONAL ANALYSIS. NOTE HOW AND WHERE YOUR OWN BIASES AFFECTED YOUR "FINDINGS."**
3. **AFTER CONSIDERING THE EFFECT OF BIAS ON YOUR ANALYSIS, MAKE THOSE REVISIONS IN YOUR ANALYSIS WHICH YOU THINK MINIMIZE THE UNDESIRE EFFECT OF THESE BIASES.**

--STOP--

**AS THE CHANGE AGENT, YOU ARE
ABOUT TO ENGAGE IN THE PROCESS
OF DESIGNING YOUR TEAM BUILDING
STRATEGY.**

TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE



Initiation Phase/Team Building- Step III: Strategy Design

The key steps in designing an organizational intervention strategy are:

- Identify the organization development (OD) intervention strategy OBJECTIVE;
- Identify INDIVIDUALS and GROUPS centrally affected by the overall OD approach;
- Identify the principle ISSUES and CONCERNS influencing the likely response of those individuals and groups to the overall OD approach;
- Identify formal and informal INFLUENCE RELATIONSHIPS within the organization;
- Identify ACTIONS needed to develop effective consensus in support of the overall OD approach; and
- Review "REALITY" factors and select PRIORITY ACTIONS considering effectiveness vs efficiency in consensus development.

Designing strategies for the implementation of the OD approach presented in this Workbook is the process of identifying steps to engage groups/individuals in rational program planning. Essentially, this strategy design aims at the creation of a plan for building consensus. The strategy can be designed by an individual who has the objective of creating a change team, or by such a team with the aim of obtaining investment and support throughout their organization for a Program Development Planning process.

STRATEGY DESIGN

FORMAT AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. WHAT STAGE OF THE OVERALL OD APPROACH ARE YOU IMPLEMENTING? (CHECK ONE)

☐ INTERVENTION TEAM DEVELOPMENT ☐ OD PLANNING (INCLUDING PLAN IMPLEMENTATION)

☐ PREPARING PROJECT FOR FORMAL OD PLANNING ☐ EVALUATION OF THE OD PLAN

2. WHAT IS THE OBJECTIVE YOU INTEND TO ACCOMPLISH THROUGH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS STRATEGY?

3. WHAT GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS ARE LIKELY TO PLAY CENTRAL ROLES IN THE OVERALL OD APPROACH?

Consider those which might be involved in implementing some part of the approach and those who might be directly affected by the Organizational Development process to be implemented in your project. Consider both groups which are formally related to organizational development in your organization and groups which are informally involved in organizational development processes. For each group, identify key individuals. Identify key individuals not involved in any group.

LIST THESE GROUPS (BY ORGANIZATIONAL ROLE/TITLE) AND INDIVIDUALS (BY NAME AND ORGANIZATIONAL ROLE) ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE (COLUMN 1 - 3).

4. FOR EACH OF THE INDIVIDUALS LISTED, CONSIDER TWO THINGS: FIRST, STATE WHAT THE MAJOR DETERMINANTS ARE FOR HIS/HER LIKELY RESPONSE TO THE OVERALL OD APPROACH. CONSIDER BOTH THOSE FACTOR WHICH COULD RESULT IN A POSITIVE REACTION OR A NEGATIVE REACTION (COLUMN 4). SECOND, STATE THE AVENUES (RELATIONSHIPS) OF INFLUENCE AVAILABLE TO YOU FOR BUILDING INTEREST AND SUPPORT OF THE OD APPROACH IN EACH OF THE INDIVIDUALS. CONSIDER THOSE RELATIONSHIPS YOU HAVE NOW AND THOSE YOU MIGHT DEVELOP AS PART OF YOUR STRATEGY (COLUMN 4).
5. AT THIS POINT, IDENTIFY THE INDIVIDUAL(S) THAT YOU SEE MAKING UP THE INTERVENTION TEAM IN THE BOX PROVIDED. LIST THE MEMBERS OF THIS PROPOSED TEAM.
6. WHAT ARE YOUR PROPOSES ACTION STEPS TO BUILD THE INTERVENTION TEAM? LIST YOUR BRAINSTORMED ACTION STEPS NEXT TO THE NAMES OF THE INDIVIDUALS YOU ARE CONSIDERING APPROACHING TO BE MEMBERS (COLUMN 5).
7. IN COLUMN 6, "SCORE" EACH ACTION IN TERMS OF HOW EFFECTIVE YOU JUDGE IT MIGHT BE IN BUILDING CONSENSUS. CIRCLE THE NUMBER SCORE FROM 5 POINTS (LIKELY TO BE HELPFUL IN REACHING YOUR OBJECTIVE) TO 1 POINT (LIKELY TO BE ONLY SLIGHTLY HELPFUL, IF AT ALL IN REACHING YOUR OBJECTIVE).
8. NOW CONSIDER THE MAJOR EXTERNAL LIMITATIONS PLACED UPON YOUR STRATEGY. ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:
 - A. TIME: I HAVE ____ WEEKS TO IMPLEMENT THIS STRATEGY.
 - B. PEOPLE: THERE ARE ____ PEOPLE PRESENTLY COMMITTED TO ACCOMPLISHING THIS OBJECTIVE AND WILLING TO AID IN IMPLEMENTING THE STEPS REQUIRED TO DO SO.

C. OTHER LIMITATIONS/RESOURCES:

9. REVIEW EACH ACTION STEP WHICH YOU HAVE SCORED 5, 4, OR 3 POINTS IN LIKELY EFFECTIVENESS. FOR EACH, CONSIDER YOUR ABILITY TO IMPLEMENT IT WITHIN THE LIMITATIONS AND RESOURCES LISTED ABOVE. RANK THESE ACTION STEPS BY ASKING YOURSELF THE FOLLOWING QUESTION:

"WHICH OF THESE ACTIONS WILL MOVE THIS STRATEGY THE FURTHEST TOWARD ACCOMPLISHMENT WITHIN THE LIMITS OF TIME, PERSONNEL, AND RESOURCES AVAILABLE?"

WRITE THE RANK ORDER (ABCDE) FOR EACH ACTION CONSIDERED ON COLUMN 7. NOTE THAT EACH ACTION WHICH RECEIVED A SCORE OF THREE OR BETTER IN TERMS OF LIKELY EFFECTIVENESS AND WHICH YOU JUDGED AS FEASIBLE WITHIN YOUR RESOURCE LIMITATION, SHOULD BE RANKED.

STRATEGY DESIGN KEY INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS

GROUPS (ROLES)	INDIVIDUALS (NAME	ROLES)	MOTIVATIONAL FACTORS	RELATIONSHIP
-------------------	----------------------	--------	-------------------------	--------------

37

50

MEMBERS OF THE INTERVENTION TEAM

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

51

STRATEGY DESIGN

KEY INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS (CONTINUED)

POTENTIAL ACTION STEPS	LIKELY EFFECTIVENESS	FINAL RANKINGS
COLUMN 5	COLUMN 6	COLUMN 7
	MOST 5 4 3 2 1 LEAST	

5 4 3 2 1

5 4 3 2 1

5 4 3 2 1

5 4 3 2 1

5 4 3 2 1

5 4 3 2 1

--STOP--

AFTER CONSIDERING THE CHECKLIST ON
THE FOLLOWING PAGE, REVISE THE TEAM
BUILDING STRATEGY YOU HAVE JUST
DESIGNED.

TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE

CHECKLIST OF TYPICAL "ERRORS" IN STRATEGY DESIGN FOR TEAM BUILDING

ERRORS

SOURCE OF ERRORS

The practitioner will often:

At too early a stage commit him/herself publicly not only to the general objective of the change, but also to details (thus reducing the possibility of arousing feelings of participation and free choice).

Lack of systematic prior planning.

Underestimate of changee's want for participation.

Disregard of possibilities for reducing restraining forces.

Wish to enhance own status.

Organizational pressures for quick and positive action.

Proceed too quickly to formal activities without sufficient attention to the need for informal data gathering. Neglect possibilities of utilizing informal processes of influence.

Overemphasis on formal structure.

Stereotyped picture of changee's motives and perceptions.

Exaggeration of ease with which distorted perceptions can be corrected.

Insufficient recognition of the role of conformity pressures.

Wish to enhance own status.

Organizational pressures for quick and positive action.

Tend to give active roles to early supporters, without testing their status in the changee community and the possibility that they may arouse antagonism among influential changees.

Insufficient recognition of informal social influence structure.

Insufficient recognition of the role of personal sympathies and antipathies.

Wish to enhance own status.

Organizational pressure for immediate results.

Use a standard set of rational arguments in all persuasive attempts.

Stereotyped view of changee's motives and perceptions.

Belief in commonality of perception.

Insufficient recognition of role of irrelevant motives.

Overemphasis of formal structure.

Fail to ensure that potentially disruptive minority groups are reached.

Emphasis on social categories rather than on independent groups.

Insufficient recognition of the wishes for participation.

Insufficient recognition of group forces acting on influentials.

Lack of appreciation for the importance of reducing restraining forces.

Wish to enhance own status.

NOW GO BACK TO YOUR STRATEGY DESIGN AND CORRECT ANY ERRORS WHICH YOU MIGHT HAVE MADE.


--CONGRATULATIONS--

YOU HAVE JUST COMPLETED YOUR TEAM BUILDING STRATEGY. THE NEXT STEP IS TO IMPLEMENT YOUR STRATEGY.

AFTER YOU HAVE IMPLEMENTED YOUR STRATEGY (AND IT IS TO BE HOPED, SUCCESSFULLY), YOU SHOULD HAVE A TEAM IN PLACE. YOUR TEAM IS THE CENTER AROUND WHICH COMMITMENT FOR ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT CAN BE CREATED.

THE TEAM'S IMMEDIATE OBJECTIVE IS TO PREPARE THE PROJECT TO ENGAGE IN A FORMAL PLANNING PROCESS.

TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE



Initiation Phase/Preparing the Project for Planning- Step I: Assessment

The key steps in performing an organizational assessment are:

- Definition of a broad FRAMEWORK to be used in collecting and compiling information to describe the organization being assessed,
- Collection of INFORMATION about the organization from sources available to those performing the Assessment, within and around the organization, and
- COMPILING (organizing) the available information within the categories defined in the Assessment Framework.

Organizational Assessment is the process of collecting descriptive data about an organization's structures, functions, processes, and resources and compiling the information into some pre-defined assessment categories. The Organizational Intervention Team performing the assessment must determine those aspects of the organization which are most significant in describing functions, etc. These aspects make up the Assessment Framework.

Assessment is the first step to be undertaken by an organization in establishing a formal organizational development planning process.

ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK

INSTRUCTIONS: READ THESE QUESTIONS. ADD ANY OTHER QUESTIONS WHICH YOU FEEL ARE IMPORTANT. ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS ON THE INSTRUMENT THAT FOLLOWS THESE PAGES.

I. ORGANIZATIONAL VALUES:

- a. What do you perceive as the organizational values?
- b. How do you perceive that these values have changed during the past several years?
- c. Are the value conflicts apparent?
- d. Who "carries" (and has "carried") the key organizational values?
- e. What values are widely agreed with throughout the organization? Which are disputed?
- f.
- g.

II. PROGRAM DESIGN:

- a. What services are provided? To whom (target population)?
- b. What services and target population changes have occurred over the past several years?
- c. What is the "strongest" service currently provided? The weakest?
- d. What is the service capacity? How many are actually served?
- e.
- f.

III. COMMUNITY BACKGROUND:

- a. Is the community rural, urban, suburban?
- b. What are the dominant socio-economic realities defining the community?
- c. Who are the major community power groups?
- d. What are the principal human service needs in the community?
- e. What are the community attitudes toward youth?
- f.
- g.

IV. PROGRAM HISTORY:

- a. How did the program get started? Who started it?
- b. What community institutions were involved in founding the program?

continued

- c. What was the original funding?
- d. Who were the key project founders? Are any remaining involved with the program?
- e. How long ago was the program founded?
- f. What was the original program purpose?

g.

h.

V. PLANNING PROCESS:

- a. Does the program have an identified planning process.
- b. How often do planning events occur? Are there weekly/monthly/annual/multi-year program plans?
- c. Who is involved in program planning?
- d. Who evaluates program performance? What do they evaluate?
- e. When was the last evaluation done? What was the outcome of the evaluation?
- f. How are day to day problems solved?
- g. How are major problems solved?

h.

i.

VI. BOARD STRUCTURE/RELATIONSHIP:

- a. What is the Board composition?
- b. Does the Board reflect community composition?
- c. How involved is the Board? How active? How often does it meet?
- d. What are mutual Board/staff feelings about each other?
- e. What is the Board's most recent (within one year) outstanding accomplishment?
- f. Is the membership stable?

g.

h.

VII. POLICY FORMULATION:

- a. Who is formally responsible for making policy decisions?
- b. Who actually makes policy?
- c. What process are used to develop policy?
- d. What information is obtained in the policy-making process? For whom?

continued

- e. Are policy makers involved in program planning?
- f. Do program plan reflect prior policy decisions?

g.

h.

VIII. COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT:

- a. Who is formally responsible for program visibility in the community?
- b. What element of the community has the highest respect for the program? The lowest?
- c. Is community input sought in program planning and evaluation? How? By whom?
- d. To what extent is the program known in the community?
- e. To what extent does the program cooperate with other human service agencies?
- f. Does the program take responsibility to initiate cooperation with other human service agencies?

g.

h.

IX. RESOURCES:

- a. What is the current budget? Where does it come from? By type, by amount.
- b. How many people are at the project? Paid staff? Volunteer staff?
- c. Are the resources growing, shrinking, or staying the same?
- d. Who is responsible for the resources that are there?
- e. Who controls the resources?
- f. How are resources used?

g.

h.

X. LEADERSHIP:

- a. Who is the formal leader(s) of the project?
- b. Who is the informal leader(s)?
- c. Is the leadership: Crisis oriented? Maintenance oriented? Creatively oriented?
- d. What is the overall leadership style and how does it effect program functioning?
- e. Is the leadership there by: Force? Default? Inheritance? Delegation?

continued

- f. Is the leadership: Autocratic (controlling)?
Democratic (cooperative)? Laissez-Faire (little if
any direction)?

g.

h.

XI. ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE:

- a. Do staff take responsibility within the organization?
Do staff take risks?
- b. Do staff feel rewarded/compensated for their work?
- c. Do staff feel they have an opportunity to be creative
in their work?
- d. Do staff have a commitment to teamwork and creative
compromise?
- e. Describe the organizational climate. What are the
positives and negatives?

f.

g.

XII. DECISION-MAKING:

- a. How are major decisions which affect the entire agency
made?
- b. Are individual staff given the authority to make deci-
sions within policy guidelines?
- c. Who has the most influence on decision-making in the
project? Who has the least?
- d. Do staff generally respect the major decisions made?
- e. Are major decisions announced or developed with input
from the staff?

f.

g.

INTERVENTION TEAM CASE ASSESSMENT

INSTRUCTIONS: USING THE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK AS A GUIDE,
THINK ABOUT THE QUESTIONS POSED AND WRITE
UP YOUR TEAM'S ASSESSMENT.

ORGANIZATIONAL VALUES:

PROGRAM DESIGN:

COMMUNITY BACKGROUND:

PROGRAM HISTORY:

65

PLANNING PROCESS:

BOARD STRUCTURE/RELATIONSHIP:

66

POLICY FORMULATION:

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT:

RESOURCES:

LEADERSHIP:

68

ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE:

DECISION-MAKING:

--STOP--

USE THE INSTRUMENT ON THE FOLLOWING
PAGE TO REFLECT UPON THE ORGANIZA-
TIONAL ASSESSMENT WHICH THE TEAM
HAS JUST COMPLETED.

TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE

LEADERSHIP PROCESS:
CLARIFYING LEADERSHIP VALUES AND BIASES IN
ORGANIZATIONAL ASSESSMENT

1. READ THE STATEMENT BELOW WHICH DESCRIBES COMMON BIASES IN THE ORGANIZATIONAL ASSESSMENT PERFORMED BY LEADERS WITHIN AN ORGANIZATION.

Factor affecting one's judgements when performing an organizational assessment and analysis are:

- a. the purposes (real or imagined) for which the assessment is being made,
- b. concern over who might gain access to the assessment data and the analysis results prepared, and
- c. biases in the data collection process (assessment), including:
 - observational biases which derive from one's situation in the observed organization and one's resultant access to, and familiarity with, certain organizational activities,
 - bias associated with one's formal organizational role and responsibilities from which one is either unable to disassociate oneself or (because of value biases) one is unwilling to do so, and
 - insufficient and/or incomplete data available (closely related to the first issue); this may result from organizational secrecy/defensiveness.

2. RE-READ THE STATEMENTS YOU HAVE MADE ON YOUR ORGANIZATIONAL ASSESSMENT. NOTE HOW AND WHERE YOUR OWN BIASES AFFECTED YOUR "FINDINGS."
3. AFTER DISCUSSING THE EFFECT OF BIAS ON YOUR ASSESSMENT WITH YOUR TEAMMATES, MAKE THOSE REVISIONS WHICH YOU THINK MINIMIZE THE UNDESIRE EFFECT OF THESE BIASES.

--STOP--

THE TEAM WILL NOW PROCEED WITH THE
NEXT STEP, ORGANIZATIONAL ANALYSIS.

KEEP IN MIND THAT YOUR TEAM'S IMME-
DIATE OBJECTIVE IS TO PREPARE YOUR
PROJECT TO ENGAGE IN A FORMAL PLAN-
NING PROCESS.

TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE

Initiation Phase/Preparing the Project for Planning- Step II: Analysis

The key steps in an ANALYSIS of organizational assessment data are:

- Identification of organizational PROBLEMS;
- Identification of BARRIERS to problem solution;
- Identification of organizational CAPABILITIES to overcome barriers; and
- Prioritization of problems and identification of CRITICAL PROBLEMS (or problem clusters).

Organizational Analysis is the process of determining the core (or pivotal) issues imbedded in the data collected during Organizational Assessment. Analysis requires reasoned judgements to be made by those reviewing the organization and interested in organizational development. To be complete, the values underlying the "reasoning" should be known to the analyst(s). Organizational Analysis can assist an Organizational Intervention Team in considering how best to develop investment in planned change throughout the organization.

ORGANIZATIONAL ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT INSTRUCTIONS

- 1a. REVIEW THE STATEMENTS YOU HAVE MADE ON THE ORGANIZATIONAL ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT. IDENTIFY CONDITIONS WITHIN THE PROGRAM WHICH ARE PROBLEMATIC. TO AID IN THIS IDENTIFICATION, AS YOURSELF "ARE THERE CURRENT CONDITIONS IN THIS PROGRAM WHICH ARE LESS THAN IDEAL, ACCORDING TO MY STANDARDS"?
- 1a. FROM AMONG THE PROBLEMATIC, CURRENT CONDITIONS YOU HAVE JUST IDENTIFIED, SELECT THOSE SIX CONDITIONS WHICH YOU PERCEIVE REPRESENT THE MOST SERIOUS PROBLEMS IN THE ORGANIZATION. LIST THESE SIX CURRENT PROGRAM CONDITIONS IN COLUMN 1 ON THE ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT.
2. NEXT TO EACH OF THE SIX CURRENT CONDITIONS YOU HAVE JUST IDENTIFIED AS MOST SERIOUSLY PROBLEMATIC, AND LISTED IN COLUMN 1, WRITE THE IDEAL CONDITION WHICH YOU THINK WOULD REPRESENT AN IMPROVED PROGRAM. LIST THESE IDEAL CONDITIONS IN COLUMN 2 ON THE ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT.
3. ASK YOURSELF "WHAT IS KEEPING THE ORGANIZATION FROM MOVING ITSELF FROM THE CURRENT CONDITIONS NOTED IN COLUMN 1 CLOSER TO THE IDEAL CONDITIONS DESCRIBED IN COLUMN 2"? FOR EACH OF THE SIX SETS OF CURRENT CONDITION/IDEAL CONDITIONS, WRITE THE ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION IN COLUMN 3 (LABELED "BARRIERS") OF THE ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT.

NOTE: A CURRENT CONDITION, THE CORRESPONDING IDEAL CONDITION, AND THE BARRIER(S) WHICH BLOCK FROM CURRENT TO IDEAL, WHEN TAKEN TOGETHER, DEFINE A "PROBLEM."

4. FOR EACH BARRIER, IDENTIFY CAPABILITIES WHICH YOU PERCEIVE THE PROGRAM POSSESSING WHICH COULD BE MOBILIZED TO OVERCOME THE BARRIER AND LEAD THE PROGRAM CLOSER TO THE IDEAL NOTED IN COLUMN 2.

(CAPABILITIES MAY BE: AVAILABLE INFORMATION, SYSTEMS, PEOPLE, FINANCIAL RESOURCES, SKILLS, ETC.) NOTE THESE CAPABILITIES IN COLUMN 4 OF THE ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT.

5. REVIEW THE INFORMATION WHICH YOU HAVE DEVELOPED ON THE ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT AND IDENTIFY THOSE PROBLEMS WHICH ARE CRITICAL TO PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT IN THAT THEY ARE:

- A. PIVOTAL PROBLEMS WHICH ONE OR MORE OTHER PROBLEMS SEEM TO BE DEPENDENT ON, OR EVEN CAUSED BY, OR
- B. IMPACTABLE PROBLEMS FOR WHICH THE RESOURCES APPEAR RELATIVELY AVAILABLE, BUT NOT YET IDENTIFIED AND MOBILIZED.

ORGANIZATIONAL ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT

CURRENT CONDITONS IDEAL CONDITIONS BARRIERS RESOURCES CRITICAL PROBLEMS

COLUMN 1	COLUMN 2	COLUMN 3	COLUMN 4	COLUMN 5

--STOP--

YOUR INTERVENTION TEAM WILL NOW
BE ASKED TO REFLECT UPON THE
ORGANIZATIONAL ANALYSIS WHICH
YOU HAVE JUST COMPLETED.

TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE

77

LEADERSHIP PROCESS
CLARIFYING LEADERSHIP VALUES AND
BIASES IN ORGANIZATIONAL ANALYSIS

1. READ THE STATEMENT BELOW WHICH DESCRIBES COMMON BIASES IN THE ORGANIZATIONAL ANALYSIS PERFORMED BY LEADERS WITHIN AN ORGANIZATION:

Factors affecting ones judgements when performing an organizational analysis are:


- a. inadvertant data collection errors which are not discovered and which are considered at face value in the process of analysis,
 - b. lack of a clear understanding and/or acceptance of an analytical approach; this can derive from confusion and/or a lack of knowledge regarding rational problem solving skills;
 - c. unstated assumptions and values serving as the basis for analytic judgements and interpretatations,
 - d. insufficient resources (time, people) to complete a careful examination of all available data coupled with a willingness to complete the analysis in spite of this shortage, and
 - e. defensive investment in one or more aspects of the organizational situation being scrutinized.
2. RE-READ THE STATEMENTS YOU HAVE MADE ON YOUR ORGANIZATIONAL ANALYSIS. NOTE HOW AND WHERE YOUR OWN BIASES AFFECTED YOUR "FINDINGS."
 3. AFTER CONSIDERING THE EFFECT OF BIAS ON YOUR ANALYSIS, MAKE THOSE REVISIONS IN YOUR ANALYSIS WHICH YOU THINK MINIMIZE THE UNDESIREED EFFECT OF THESE BIASES.

--STOP--

AS THE INTERVENTION TEAM, YOU ARE
ABOUT TO DESIGN A STRATEGY THROUGH
WHICH YOU WILL BUILD SUPPORT AT ALL
LEVELS OF YOUR PROJECT FOR ENGAGING
IN A FORMAL PLANNING PROCESS.

TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE

79



Initiation Phase/Preparing a Project for Planning- Step III: Strategy Design

The key steps in designing an organizational intervention strategy are:

-Identify the organizational development (OD) intervention strategy
OBJECTIVE:

-Identify INDIVIDUALS and GROUPS centrally affected by the overall OD approach;

-Identify the principal ISSUES and CONCERNS influencing the likely response of these individuals/groups to the overall OD approach;

-Identify formal and informal INFLUENCE RELATIONSHIPS within the organization;

-Identify ACTIONS needed to develop effective consensus in support of the overall OD approach; and

-Review "REALITY" factors and select PRIORITY ACTIONS considering effectiveness vs efficiency in consensus development.

Designing strategies for implementation of the OD approach presented in this Workbook is the process of identifying steps to engage key groups/individuals in a rational program planning. Essentially, this strategy design aims at the creation of a plan for building consensus. The strategy can be designed by an individual who has the objective of creating a change team, or by such a team with the aim of obtaining investment and support throughout their organization for a Organizational Development Planning process.

STRATEGY DESIGN
FORMAT AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. WHAT STAGE OF THE OVERALL OD APPROACH ARE YOU IMPLEMENTING? (CHECK ONE)

☐ INTERVENTION TEAM
DEVELOPMENT

☐ OD PLANNING (INCLUDING
PLAN IMPLEMENTATION)

☐ PREPARING PROJECT FOR
FORMAL OD PLANNING

☐ EVALUATION OF THE OD
PLAN

2. WHAT IS THE OBJECTIVE YOU INTEND TO ACCOMPLISH THROUGH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS STRATEGY?

3. WHAT GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS ARE LIKELY TO PLAY CENTRAL ROLES IN THE OVERALL OD APPROACH?

Consider those which might be involved in implementing some part of the approach and those who might be directly affected by the Organizational Development process to be implemented in your project. Consider both groups which are formally related to organizational development in your organization and groups which are informally involved in organizational development processes. For each group, identify key individuals. Identify key individuals not involved in any group.

LIST THESE GROUPS (BY ORGANIZATIONAL ROLE/TITLE) AND INDIVIDUALS (BY NAME AND ORGANIZATIONAL ROLE) ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE (COLUMN 1 - 3).

4. FOR EACH OF THE INDIVIDUALS LISTED, CONSIDER TWO THINGS: FIRST, STATE WHAT THE MAJOR DETERMINANTS ARE FOR HIS/HER LIKELY RESPONSE TO THE OVERALL OD APPROACH. CONSIDER BOTH THOSE FACTOR WHICH COULD RESULT IN A POSITIVE REACTION OR A NEGATIVE REACTION (COLUMN 4). SECOND, STATE THE AVENUES (RELATIONSHIPS) OF INFLUENCE AVAILABLE TO YOU FOR BUILDING INTEREST AND SUPPORT OF THE OD APPROACH IN EACH OF THE INDIVIDUALS. CONSIDER THOSE RELATIONSHIPS YOU HAVE NOW AND THOSE YOU MIGHT DEVELOP AS PART OF YOUR STRATEGY (COLUMN 4).
5. AT THIS POINT, IDENTIFY THE INDIVIDUAL(S) THAT YOU SEE MAKING UP THE INTERVENTION TEAM IN THE BOX PROVIDED. LIST THE MEMBERS OF THIS PROPOSED TEAM.
6. WHAT ARE YOUR PROPOSES ACTION STEPS TO BUILD THE INTERVENTION TEAM? LIST YOUR BRAINSTORMED ACTION STEPS NEXT TO THE NAMES OF THE INDIVIDUALS YOU ARE CONSIDERING APPROACHING TO BE MEMBERS (COLUMN 5).
7. IN COLUMN 6, "SCORE" EACH ACTION IN TERMS OF HOW EFFECTIVE YOU JUDGE IT MIGHT BE IN BUILDING CONSENSUS. CIRCLE THE NUMBER SCORE FROM 5 POINTS (LIKELY TO BE HELPFUL IN REACHING YOUR OBJECTIVE) TO 1 POINT (LIKELY TO BE ONLY SLIGHTLY HELPFUL, IF AT ALL IN REACHING YOUR OBJECTIVE).
8. NOW CONSIDER THE MAJOR EXTERNAL LIMITATIONS PLACED UPON YOUR STRATEGY. ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:
- A. TIME: I HAVE ____ WEEKS TO IMPLEMENT THIS STRATEGY.
- B. PEOPLE: THERE ARE ____ PEOPLE PRESENTLY COMMITTED TO ACCOMPLISHING THIS OBJECTIVE AND WILLING TO AID IN IMPLEMENTING THE STEPS REQUIRED TO DO SO.

C. OTHER LIMITATIONS/RESOURCES:

9. REVIEW EACH ACTION STEP WHICH YOU HAVE SCORED 5, 4, OR 3 POINTS IN LIKELY EFFECTIVENESS. FOR EACH, CONSIDER YOUR ABILITY TO IMPLEMENT IT WITHIN THE LIMITATIONS AND RESOURCES LISTED ABOVE. RANK THESE ACTION STEPS BY ASKING YOURSELF THE FOLLOWING QUESTION:

"WHICH OF THESE ACTIONS WILL MOVE THIS STRATEGY THE FURTHEST TOWARD ACCOMPLISHMENT WITHIN THE LIMITS OF TIME, PERSONNEL, AND RESOURCES AVAILABLE?"

WRITE THE RANK ORDER (ABCDE) FOR EACH ACTION CONSIDERED ON COLUMN 7. NOTE THAT EACH ACTION WHICH RECEIVED A SCORE OF THREE OR BETTER IN TERMS OF LIKELY EFFECTIVENESS AND WHICH YOU JUDGED AS FEASIBLE WITHIN YOUR RESOURCE LIMITATION, SHOULD BE RANKED.

STRATEGY DESIGN KEY INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS

GROUPS (ROLES)	INDIVIDUALS (NAME)	INDIVIDUALS (ROLES)	MOTIVATIONAL FACTORS	RELATIONSHIP
-------------------	-----------------------	------------------------	-------------------------	--------------

71

MEMBERS OF THE INTERVENTION TEAM

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

87

86

STRATEGY DESIGN

KEY INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS (CONTINUED)

POTENTIAL ACTION STEPS	LIKELY EFFECTIVENESS		FINAL RANKINGS
COLUMN 5	MOST	COLUMN 6 5 4 3 2 1	LEAST COLUMN 7
		5 4 3 2 1	
		5 4 3 2 1	
		5 4 3 2 1	
		5 4 3 2 1	
		88	

COLUMN 5

MOST

COLUMN 6
5 4 3 2 1

LEAST

COLUMN 7

5 4 3 2 1

5 4 3 2 1

5 4 3 2 1

5 4 3 2 1

88

--STOP--

AFTER CONSIDERING THE CHECKLIST
ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE, REVISE
(IF NECESSARY) THE STRATEGY
YOUR TEAM HAS JUST DESIGNED.

TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE

CHECKLIST OF TYPICAL "ERRORS" IN
STRATEGY DESIGN FOR PREPARING THE
PROJECT TO ENGAGE IN FORMAL O.D.
PLANNING

ERRORS

SOURCE OF ERRORS

The practitioner will often:

At too early a stage commit him/her self publicly not only to the general objective of the change, but also to details (thus reducing the possibility of arousing feelings of participation and free choice).

Lack of systematic prior planning.

Underestimate of changee's want for participation.

Disregard of possibilities for reducing restraining forces.

Wish to enhance own status.

Organizational pressures for quick and positive action.

Proceed too quickly to formal activities without sufficient attention to the need for informal data gathering. Neglect possibilities of utilizing informal processes of influence.

Overemphasis on formal structure.

Stereotyped picture of changee's motives and perceptions.

Exaggeration of ease with which distorted perceptions can be corrected.

Insufficient recognition of the role of conformity pressures.

Wish to enhance own status.

Organizational pressures for quick and positive action.

Tend to give active roles to early supporters, without testing their status in the changee community and the possibility that they may arouse antagonism among influential changees.

Insufficient recognition of informal social influence structure.

Insufficient recognition of the role of personal sympathies and antipathies.

Wish to enhance own status.

Organizational pressure for immediate results.

Use a standard set of rational arguments in all persuasive attempts.

Stereotyped view of changee's motives and perceptions.

Belief in commonality of perception.

Insufficient recognition of role of irrelevant motives.

Overemphasis of formal structure.

Fail to ensure that potentially disruptive minority groups are reached.

Emphasis on social categories rather than on independent groups.

Insufficient recognition of the wishes for participation.

Insufficient recognition of group forces acting on influentials.

Lack of appreciation for the importance of reducing restraining forces.

Wish to enhance own status.

NOW GO BACK TO YOUR STRATEGY DESIGN AND CORRECT ANY ERRORS WHICH YOU MIGHT HAVE MADE.

—STOP—

YOUR TEAM HAS COMPLETED DESIGNING ITS
STRATEGY.

NOW, USING THE INSTRUMENT ON THE FOLLOWING
PAGE, ASSESS HOW WELL YOUR INTERVENTION TEAM
HAS PERFORMED AS A GROUP.

EACH TEAM MEMBER SHOULD COMPLETE THIS FORM
INDIVIDUALLY PRIOR TO A GROUP DISCUSSION.

TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE

TEAM EFFECTIVENESS ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT

A. Work Goals

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Confused; diverse; disagreement							Clear to all, shared by all, all care about the goals.

B. Involvement and Commitment

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Indifference or lack of commitment; some try to pass the buck to others							Everyone cares about getting the work done well, each tries to do her best.

C. Division of Duties and Responsibilities

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
There is no accepted division of duties, responsibility, and work, or people do not perform according to it, or adhere to it rigidly.							There is an accepted division of duties, responsibility and work; all perform with flexibility as need arises.

D. Trust

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Members distrust one another, are careful, closed, guarded, afraid to criticize or be criticized.							Members trust one another, are not afraid to say what they feel, can freely express negative reactions without fearing reprisal.

E. Problem Solving

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Problems are not acknowledged and faced, problems are ignored or covered up.							When a problem arises, it is acknowledged and discussed in a sincere attempt to solve it.


F. Discussing Problems

	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Members try to "win"; do not value others' opinions, dissenters are overruled or ignored.							When discussing problems, people try to understand one another's viewpoints; dissenters and differences are appreciated and used to improve decision.

--STOP--

UPON COMPLETION OF IMPLEMENTING
THE STRATEGY WHICH YOU HAVE JUST
DESIGNED, YOUR PROJECT SHOULD BE
PREPARED TO ENGAGE IN A FORMAL
PLANNING PROCESS.

TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE



INTRODUCTION TO PHASE II: PLANNING

This phase is the development of a project plan for organizational development. The purpose of this phase is to develop a written plan based on a careful assessment and analysis of project and community data. The written plan should include goals, objectives, activities, schedules and resources. Additionally, before the written plan is finalized, a review of the plan for potential effectiveness and feasibility is in order. Following the review, a method for evaluation of the results of the plan will assist the project in "knowing if they got there."

Another aspect of this phase which is critical to a successful plan is process by which the plan was developed. For example:

- Do all members of the organization have access to the written plan?
- Is there a mechanism to track progress of the plan and make adjustments as necessary?
- Are all members of the organization kept up-to-date on the progress of the plan?

The remainder of this section of PHASE II: Planning is a compilation of materials on planning. We realize that there is a wide diversity of planning processes within the YDB grantee system and do not prescribe a specific one here. We have, however, excerpted some material concerning planning from Educational Systems Corporation's "Operations Manual for YDB Grantees." These materials had been compiled for the purpose of assisting projects in meeting YDB's Program Performance Standard #12 which relates to on-going planning. Additionally, at the end of this section, there is a format for an Intervention Plan. It is recommended that you use this format, as it will be used in evaluating your O.D. process. (In PHASE IV of this manual.)

STANDARD #12: ONGOING PROJECT PLANNING

"THE PROJECT SHALL DEVELOP A WRITTEN PLAN AT LEAST ANNUALLY."

OVERVIEW

There are four criteria which comprise this Standard.

They are:

- a. At least annually, the project shall review both the crisis counseling, temporary shelter and aftercare needs of youth in the area served by the project and the existing services which are available to meet these needs;
- b. The project shall conduct an ongoing evaluation of the impact of its services on the youth and families it serves;
- c. At least annually, the project shall review and revise, as appropriate, its goals, objectives and activities based upon the data generated through both the review of youth needs and existing services and the follow-up evaluations; and,
- d. The project's planning process shall be open to all paid and volunteer staff, youth, and members of the Board of Directors and/or Advisory Body.

In order to demonstrate conformance with this Standard, the project must be able to document that it conducts an annual review of youth needs and existing services, has an ongoing evaluation system which includes collecting follow-up feedback information from clients; conducts an annual review of project goals, objectives and activities; and, operates a planning process that is open to staff, youth and Board and/or Advisory Body members. Each of these aspects is discussed separately, with suggested documentation approaches, in this Overview section; however, it is important to discuss the general purposes of planning.

Planning as a Tool for Managers

Managers use planning to give structure to a project and to increase its effectiveness. It is actually very simple, but some social service workers tend to view planning as being something difficult and almost mystical. Why? Basically because planning can

highlight contradictions in a project's values and purpose. On the one hand, the project's values and purpose may speak to being continually responsive to client needs - in other words responding to a reality created outside of the project. On the other hand, part of the values and purpose of many projects deal with advocating for and creating the conditions that will effect designed social change. Therefore, each project needs to balance the crisis mind-set with a more forward-looking or proactive posture. Because client crises are always the more immediate reality for many projects, efforts at proactive planning are often put on the "back burner" or, sometimes, service provision and planning are not even viewed as being interconnected. In other words, staff aren't trained to see how their project's planning efforts can positively affect the young people they serve, either on a crisis or on a longer-term basis. How does one begin to gain this balance between being responsive to immediate client needs and yet also fostering needed change?

The first step in using planning as an effective tool is to translate to others on the staff what planning is and why it is important. Planning, at its most basic level is a thought process. It is also common sense. Planning ideas relates together in an overall structure so that ideas are ordered in a way that they can be implemented easily. Effective planning focuses on a course of action which is meaningful, realistic and results-oriented. Planning must also take into account the external factors (social, political and economic) and identify the potential impact of the external factors on the desired outcome.

All staff often ask, "What will planning do for our project?" when attending a planning session. The planning process serves as the foundation upon which all of the project's activities are based. It helps to provide an organizational focus. It should result in answers as to what, where, why, when and how actions are to be taken, and who will conduct the actions for whom. If these questions are answered in the course of a planning process, it lessens the potential for disagreement on organizational focus. The planning process also sanction a course of action and provides assurance that this course has a reasonable opportunity for success. The plan that is developed can serve as a basis for monitoring or determining achievements and, therefore, as a basis for strengthening internal accountability. Since a well-developed plan lists the results that are desired as well as assigning responsibilities

to staff for their implementation, it provides all staff with a clear picture of how their specific work activities relate to the organization as a whole.

It should also be noted here that the planning process is not viewed as an end in itself but, rather, as a part of the total management cycle. Planning is the step of identifying and choosing a course of action; monitoring is the step of assessing the performance of the course of action on a planned versus actual basis; and, evaluation is the step of determining the impact of the selected course of action. Once the project has evaluated the success of the course of its action, the next step is to go back full circle to planning. And so it goes. . . Okay, now that you are sold on how planning helps, the following will describe how to meet the criteria and indicators of the planning Standard.

HOW TO MEET THE CRITERIA

Review of Youth Needs and Existing Services

This criterion calls for an annual review of both the crisis counseling, temporary shelter and aftercare needs of youth in the area served by the project and of the existing services which are available to meet these needs. This is essentially the first step in the planning process, and is often called the needs or problem identification phase.

This criterion presupposes that the project has conducted or has access to youth needs assessment data. Most projects utilize data collected by other public or private agencies in their communities and augment these data with information collected by the project from referral agencies, clients, and internal or external evaluations. (See Model #1, "Family Connection Planning Process" and Tool #1, "Notes on Planning"). Generally, these data include the size of the youth population, the number of youth involved with public (probation, welfare, courts, county mental health) and private agencies, the types of services available to youth, and feedback information from youth and from youth-serving agencies on the additional types of services needed in order to address identified community needs.

The next step in the planning process is to review and analyze the youth needs and the existing services, to identify and prioritize the gaps in these services, and to decide what services the project can realistically provide based upon these needs. These decisions form the basis for determining the impact of the program.

To document that this Standard has been met, many projects include an analysis of youth needs and existing services in their annual grant applications. Some projects present this information at the annual meeting of their Board of Directors. Other projects review this information at the beginning of each planning session. Supplemental and optional documentation can include a written needs assessment analysis, minutes of meetings which report that the needs analysis information was reviewed and a discussion in the written annual project plan concerning how the needs assessment information was used in developing the project's goals, objectives and activities.

Ongoing Evaluation of The Impact of Project Services

This criterion will be met if the project collects and analyzes follow-up data from the youth and his/her parent(s) or legal guardian. This data provides part of the information required for the evaluation step of the planning process and also continuously provides information for the needs assessment phase. (See Tool #4, "Sample Client Follow-up Survey"). The documentation required under this criterion is the existence of a follow-up system (questionnaires and procedures).

It is important to note that, to be effective, impact evaluation data must be directly tied to the project's goals. For example, to receive monies from YDB, programs must agree to subscribe to the goal of encouraging the reunification of youth and their families if this is determined to be in the youth's best interests. How does a project know if it has had impact in this area? Follow-up data collected from the client and her/his family will indicate if the family has been reunited. A larger issue is how a project measures its overall impact in the community. The first step is to clearly define what the desired impact is and then decide how to measure its attainment. (Tool #3 "Evaluation Decisions", is an example of such an evaluation design process.)

The Annual Project Plan

The third criterion is met if the project has a written annual plan which reflects revisions, as necessary, in the project's goals, objectives and activities based upon the data generated through both the review of youth needs and existing services and the follow-up evaluation.

This indicator focuses on an essential element of the planning process: Is the plan developed through a process which is viewed as "real" and valued by the project, or is the plan developed merely to respond to a YDB requirement? Technically, a project has developed an annual plan when it submits its grant application to YDB. By requiring the periodic review of the plan and how it correlates with the data generated through program reviews, this indicator ensures that a project uses the plan rather than developing it only once a year to meet YDB or Board requirements. In order to document that the annual plan does reflect any necessary revisions based upon youth needs and existing services, as well as follow-up evaluation, the project must have a fairly effective planning process. Documentation that planning, in fact, happens based on client needs and evaluation data could be reflected in the plan itself. Many projects prepare annual reports for the annual Board of Directors meeting and for their funding sources. Included in this report is a review of the past year's accomplishments based upon their plan, including descriptions of how the project's goals, objectives and activities were met and reporting the evaluation findings. Generally, a section on future plans is also included. Some projects, especially if an annual report is required for the annual Board of Directors meeting, also present the final version of the next year's plan for approval at this meeting. (Note - This does NOT mean that the Board has been excluded from the planning process but, rather, were active participants and the annual Board meeting is to formally sanction this plan.)

While there are other methods to document that this indicator has been met (e.g., minutes of meetings, project newsletters or even a grant application) the annual report, which describes how and why the revisions were made, is the most effective, and the report then becomes part of the project's "history bank" of information. Being able to provide documentation that the project is aware of and is responding to youth and community needs, and that it is actively planning and creating services to meet these needs, is essential.

The Open Planning Process

The fourth criterion is met through written documentation which demonstrates that the project's planning process is open to all paid and volunteer staff, youth and members of the Board of Director and/or the Advisory Body.

This criterion is based on the assumption that if the planning process is open, it

will result in the members clearly understanding and articulating organizational values and purpose. This enables the project to develop a course of action or a plan which provides a sanctioned focus or direction under which all members are held accountable and understand how their specific tasks relate to the organization as a whole.

Basic human behavior theory (and practice) indicates that people are more committed and willing to follow through on tasks that they understand and helped to create. Also, requiring the input of clients and former clients into the planning process ensures that their perspective is taken into consideration too. The most beneficial aspect of the open planning process, however, is that all members will accept more responsibility for the organization as a whole and for its functioning. This type of environment increases the level of trust/support as well as the personal enthusiasm and satisfaction of the members. It increases the ability to confront organizational problems as well as creating the climate to solve them with greater frequency.

An open planning process does not imply that all of the paid and volunteer staff, youth and Board and/or Advisory Body members must participate in each planning session. Rather, it means that as plans are developed they are shared with members who have an opportunity to provide feedback. In other words, the process for planning is as important as the content of the plan. The most technically well-developed plan means nothing if it is placed in the director's files and the other members do not know of its existence. Again, planning is a tool to be used to provide sanctioned direction to a project.

Documentation for this indicator may include notices of meetings, written correspondence, minutes of meetings or other documents which indicate that the planning was open to all members. Some projects include a planning function in all its members' job descriptions, and it is expected that all members regularly participate in some form of planning.

ISSUES IN PLANNING

Many projects say that they don't have the time to plan. Whether a project has a written plan or not, staff are in a constant process of planning. It is called common sense. The problem with not having a sanctioned written plan, is that individual staff plan and operate in a vacuum and direction is dissipated.

Without a cohesive, unified direction for staff to move, time and energy is wasted. Basically, the project must make a commitment to planning and use it as a tool to strengthen the project. Many projects, which at first thought planning was a waste of time, now state that planning is a basis of all their activities. Each project must develop its own planning style and processes which make sense for the project.

Some projects, for example, hold twice yearly planning retreats for staff, youth and Board members. The purpose of these retreats is to review past accomplishments and develop plans for a specific time period in the future. These structured retreats, generally held on a weekend, are a time for all members to come together to celebrate the accomplishments of the past and to create the future of the project. The process of this type of planning style is as important as the content that is developed.

Other projects, because of staff size or personal preference, develop committees composed of staff, youth and Board members to develop the initial plan and then solicit input from other members through plan review meetings. In other cases, the director develops the draft plan, and presents it to the Board, staff and youth representatives for input and approval.

Some projects plan on a six-month basis, while other projects develop one, three and five year plans. Sometimes the planning process is very formal, following a management-by-objectives process. Other projects have more informal planning processes under which issues are prioritized as to their immediate importance and activities are agreed upon.

Regardless of the type of style of the planning process, it is important that the values and purpose of the project are discussed and agreed upon. The plan that is developed must be written and used as a basis to monitor progress. The planning process is a tool, and the more that it is used the better and more appropriate the results will be.

Model #1

"Family Connection's Planning Process"

Family Connection
Anchorage, Alaska

At least annually it is critical that staff (including volunteers) sit down for 2 or 3 day and map out the year's goals and objectives for Family Connection. There are many reasons why this process is important of the program in general and the staff in particular:

- This time gives staff an opportunity to reassess direction and progress by drawing on their impressions of the types and quality of services provided during the past year.
- At this time the program draws on information it has received through referral agency evaluations, client evaluations, quarterly staff evaluations, and any needs assessments that the program has conducted.
- The process delineates clearly the expected roles and responsibilities of each staff member for the next year.
- Most importantly, the process gives staff a chance to renew values with each other and with the program.

Community Needs

The process begins with staff collating and then distilling information about the program services. Such information is contained in referral agency evaluations, client evaluations, any internal or external evaluations, quarterly program assessments, and needs assessments conducted by the program or by others for youth and family service needs in Anchorage.

Purpose Statement

The distillation of this information is checked against the agency's purpose statement which can be reaffirmed or modified at this time by the group. The vision or purpose statement answers the questions of who we are, why we are here, what we have to contribute. It defines broad boundaries and goes on forever (is timeless.)

Goals

The next step is to take this distilled information again and brainstorm 1, 2 & 5 year goals. Goals are what we intend to do during the next year, next 2 and 5 years.

Goals relate more specifically to how the agency will carry out its vision in relation to community needs that have been assessed. Since staff has set 2 and 5 year goals during previous years, it is important to check back on those prior articulated goal statements.

The goal setting process must also take into account any goals given the program by its funding source(s). For instance, one of our sources, the Office of Youth Development, has 4 primary goals mandated by Congress in the Runaway Youth Act. Our program must adhere to those goals as long as we accept money from them.

Because time and resources are limited, staff must prioritize goals.

The goals must be commonly agreed to by all staff and must be clear to everyone. If they are unclear, the staff roles needed to accomplish these goals will also be unclear. Additionally, if staff do not agree with the agency's goals, they may consciously or unconsciously try to sabotage the achievement of the goals.

Objectives

After there is agreement and clarity around the goals, staff must then articulate measurable objectives under each goal. These objectives are time-limited and spell out a particular task in measurable terms. Again, the objectives must relate to fulfillment of the goals and vision. The measurability of the objectives allows staff to internally monitor throughout the year whether the agency as a whole is meeting its goals.

Activities

In order to achieve the objectives, certain activities must be carried out throughout the year or during a specific block of time. This last step allows all staff to brainstorm activities that are necessary for each part of the agency to function. Although an objective may only relate to one part of the program, every staff member helps put the pieces together for that section.

When listing activities, it is also important to list the beginning dates and the ending dates for each activity, who is primarily responsible for seeing that that activity is done, and what additional resources can be used or are needed. An activity chart looks like this:

Objective statement:

Activities:	Begin date	End date	Who is resp.	Who else
1.				
2.				

Monitoring

This kind of chart which outlines the year's total activities can look overwhelming. However, the chart is primarily a tool for staff to learn from, and is not a deadline master. On a quarterly basis (October, January, April, and June), staff should take 2 hours and go over all of the objectives and the activities to see if they are still realistic in terms of tasks and dates. If some dates, for example, are unrealistic, then staff should establish new dates and discuss why the activity or objective is behind or ahead of schedule. Notes jotted next to the changed objective or activity should briefly explain the changes. The information on how and why changes were made will be increasingly important as the year progresses and will be very useful for the next year's planning process.

"A Training Design--From Goals to Action"

by Eva Schindler-Rainman

A. Assumptions Underlying this Approach

- Persons to be affected by plans and decisions should have a part in making these plans and decisions.
- Such involvement leads to an investment of interest, time, and responsibility on the part of the participants.
- This process requires the selection of realistic "do-able" goals.
- It is a way of working toward something rather than getting away from pain or problems.
- The phases of brainstorming stimulate creativity because of the non-judgmental, free atmosphere for getting ideas out.
- There are no preconceived ways to reach the goal.
- There is an emphasis on alternatives all the way through the process--alternative goals, and alternative action patterns.
- Since the work is done in a group there is much opportunity to build on each other's ideas.
- There is orderly movement from image of potentiality brainstorming, to goal selection, to diagnosing of forces that will help or hinder the reaching of the goal, to alternative actions on the strongest forces, to beginning start up actions steps.

B. Images of Potentiality

- In this process you take an imaginary leap 5 years, or 1 year, or 6 months etc. ahead and look at what is now happening that makes you pleased with the progress since 5 years, 1 year, or 6 months ago--you brainstorm and list all the images you see. You do this in the present tense.
- Example: "It is now June 30, 1981, you are floating in a helicopter above your department, district office, branch, service or office. As you look down at your area of work, you are pleased with the improved communication you see going on. Specifically what do you see?"
 - "Easy access by employees to the boss"
 - "Productive, fun, short staff meetings"
 - "Clear understanding and use of program goals by most employees"
 - "More involvement in decision making"
 - etc.
- Rules for Brainstorming:
 - list all ideas
 - do not discuss
 - do not judge
 - repetition is o.k.
- After the group gets out ALL the possible images, the members look back at the list and choose the one that they feel is most important to achieve, and that they would like to work on. If the group is large (over 7 people) choose two

images and divide the group to do the work on both.

- Now translate the image into a goal on which you will work (e.g., "to involve more employees in decision making").

C. Force Field Analysis (an adaption from Dr. Kurt Lewin)

- Now discuss and list all the things - all the forces - that you know will help you reach the goal. These are things that are now in the picture (in the field) that will be driving (+) forces toward the goal.
- Then do the same for blocks or restraining forces (-).
- *Example: Goal: to involve more employees in decision making.

Helps +


1. Some supervisors want help in decision making
2. In our organization there is a trend toward participatory management
3. It has been successfully tried in some areas etc.

Blocks -

1. Little history of participatory decision making in the department
2. Many supervisors lack skill in involving employees
3. Some decisions need to be made immediately
4. It takes time etc.

*also see Force Field in upcoming section

- When all the driving (+) and restraining (-) forces have been listed plot them on a force field. As you plot them you will need to decide on the strength of each force. How big or how important are the forces in relation to reaching or blocking your goal attainment?
- Example: (see section above) Goal: To involve more employees in decision making.



- After listing all the forces you can think of and plotting them on the force field these are your action choices:
 - a. take the strongest negative force or forces that you can do something about, and brainstorm all the things you could do to diminish or demolish it or them
 - b. strengthen the strongest positives--(again brainstorm all the ways to do this)
 - c. Combine strong positives, if possible
 - d. reverse a strong negative into a strong positive
 - e. remove forces, if possible
- The best pay off usually is to diminish the strongest double negative force(s). So let us start there.

Brainstorm all the alternative actions you could take here.

D. Action Strategies

- Now look back over this list and select those actions that you can take to start your work to decrease the selected restraining force.
- You may wish to select one or several to begin on.
- On the selection of your beginning entry steps, answer very specifically these questions:
 - a. besides us whom else do we need to work on this?
 - b. where do we begin?
 - c. how do we begin? (phone call, meeting, letter, etc.)
 - d. who will do what to get us started?
- Example:
 - a. the biggest restraining force selected is: "Lack of skill of supervisors in involving employees in decision making." (see C.)
 - b. from the brainstorm list the choice is to set up a one year (twice a month meeting) training event to help supervisors learn these skills.
 - c. First steps:
 - (1) to involve some supervisors in the planning of the training.
 - (2) we begin by asking 3 supervisors to join our group.
 - (3) we ask them personally on Monday morning at the office.
 - (4) a meeting date for all of us together with the Director of Training will be set for Wednesday afternoon.
 - (5) different members of our group are willing to take responsibility for each of the above steps!

Hoorah! You are now on the way to action that will move you in the direction of the goal you selected!

--STOP--

THE FOLLOWING PAGES INCLUDE THE FORMAT
FOR THE INTERVENTION PLAN. THIS FORMAT
WILL ASSIST YOU IN ORGANIZING YOUR PLAN
INFORMATION WHICH WILL AID YOU IN
COMPLETING THE EVALUATION SECTION OF
THIS WORKBOOK. (PHASE IV: EVALUATION.)

TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE

111

INSTRUCTIONS FOR INTERVENTION PLAN

- I. AS A RESULT OF AN ASSESSMENT OF YOUR PROJECT, LIST IN PRIORITY ORDER, THE PROBLEMS THAT YOUR PROJECT FACES (COLUMN 1).
- II. USING AS A BASE THOSE PRIORITY PROBLEMS IDENTIFIED ABOVE, WHAT HAS YOUR PROJECT DETERMINED TO BE THE FEASIBLE INTERIM OR FINAL SOLUTIONS FOR EACH PROBLEM WITHIN THE NEXT TWELVE MONTHS? THESE SOLUTIONS TRANSLATE INTO YOUR INTERVENTION OBJECTIVES (COLUMN 2).
- III. IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITIES.
PLEASE IDENTIFY THE VARIOUS ACTIVITIES WHICH YOUR PROGRAM WILL ENGAGE IN OVER THE NEXT FIVE MONTHS TO ARRIVE AT THE DESIRED SOLUTION TO EACH PROBLEM, (COLUMN 3).
- IV. IDENTIFICATION OF RESOURCES.
PLEASE IDENTIFY THE VARIOUS RESOURCES NEEDED TO ACCOMPLISH EACH OF THE ACTIVITIES LISTED. (RESOURCES SHOULD BE IDENTIFIED FOR EACH ACTIVITY IN COLUMN 4).
- V. INTERVENTION PLAN REVIEW.
PLEASE REVIEW THE INTERVENTION PLANS AS FORMULATED IN TABLE 1. ARE THERE SUFFICIENT RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO ACCOMPLISH THE ACTIVITIES WHICH WILL LEAD TO THE RESOLUTION OF THE PROBLEMS?

PROBLEM 1: Yes No PROBLEM 2: Yes No PROBLEM 3: Yes No

IF YOU HAVE ANSWERED NO TO ANY OF THESE PLEASE REVIEW YOUR PROGRAM PLANS AND IF NECESSARY RESTATE YOUR OBJECTIVES TO MAKE THEM MORE REALISTIC.

IF YOU HAVE ANSWERED YES TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, PLEASE GO ON TO THE NEXT STEP.

INTERVENTION PLAN

Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4A	Col. 4B	Col. 4C
PROBLEM	OBJECTIVE	ACTIVITIES	STAFF TIME	FINANCIAL RESOURCES	OTHER RESOURCES

113

96

114

TABLE #1

VI. EVALUATION.

IN THIS SECTION YOU WILL IDENTIFY THE CONDITIONS WHICH WILL SATISFY YOU THAT THE OBJECTIVES HAVE BEEN MET AND THE MECHANISMS FOR ASSESSING THE EXISTENCE OF THESE CONDITIONS.

A. WHAT SET OF CONDITIONS WOULD YOU LOOK FOR IN ORDER TO DETERMINE IF EACH OF THE OBJECTIVES HAVE BEEN ACHIEVED? THIS REPRESENTS YOUR EVALUATION STANDARD.

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

B. WHAT DATA WILL YOU LOOK AT TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THESE CONDITIONS DO EXIST AT THE END OF YOUR EVALUATION PERIOD?

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

C. HOW AND WHEN WILL YOU COLLECT EACH OF THE NECESSARY DATA REQUIRED?

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

D. HOW WILL YOU ANALYZE THIS DATA?

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.


CONGRATULATIONS!

YOU HAVE JUST COMPLETED THE PLAN-
NING PHASE. NOW YOU ARE READY TO
TURN YOUR PLAN INTO REALITY.

THE NEXT PHASE, IMPLEMENTATION,
FOLLOWS.

TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE

You
Are
Here



INTRODUCTION TO PHASE III: IMPLEMENTATION

This phase, Implementation, is one where plan becomes reality. It is in the implementation phase where a number of unanticipated factors arise that can either help or hinder the successful follow-through of one plan.

While it is impossible to predict all factors that could arise, it is in this phase where the factors can be "managed." In other words, the helping factors can be maximized and the hindering factors can be minimized.

The remainder of this section includes a checklist of factors which may effect a change effort. The following is a list of categories of such factors that you may or may not have given consideration to. There is no expectation that all of these categories will be relevant in all situations, and, further, there is no assumption that this list of categories is exhaustive (hence the utilization of "other"). Additionally, designating categories does not imply that there is no connection or interaction between them. There is the expectation that the categorization will assist in the organizing and understanding of information regarding specific events in an organization engaged in planned change.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING THE CHECKLIST

1. AS YOU IMPLEMENT YOUR INTERVENTION PLAN,
PERIODICALLY REVIEW THIS LIST OF FACTORS.

YOU MAY WISH TO SCHEDULE REGULAR MONTHLY REVIEW
DATES AS PART OF YOUR IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS.

2. AS YOU REVIEW THE LIST, CONSIDER WHETHER ANY OF
THE FACTORS LISTED ARE EITHER "GETTING IN THE WAY"
OR ARE "MOVING YOU ALONG" IN IMPLEMENTING YOUR
INTERVENTION PLAN.
3. MODIFY YOUR INTERVENTION PLAN TO MORE EFFECTIVELY
ACCOUNT FOR THESE HELPING OR HINDERING FORCES.
IN MANY CASES, YOU MAY NOT WISH TO MODIFY THE
WRITTEN PLAN, BUT YOU MAY WISH TO CHANGE THE STYLE,
OR FOCUS, OF YOUR ACTIVITIES AS YOU IMPLEMENT THE
PLAN.

A CHECKLIST OF FACTORS
THAT MAY IMPACT AN O.D. SYSTEM
INTERNAL FACTORS - RELATED TO INDIVIDUALS

EXAMPLES

Factors	Helping	Hindering
Commitment (to the org., to others)	There is a prior agreement among all staff that org. growth is happening too rapidly and haphazardly and needed to be managed more effectively.	Staff are only committed to individual roles, not total organization.
Authority (formal, informal)	Those with formal authority are willing to delegate authority necessary to carry out specific jobs.	There is conflict between those individuals with authority positions.
Relationships (formal, informal, influence rela- tionships)	Chair of the team is an informal leader in the org.	Intervention team members are not well respected by staff.
Values	Stated personal and organiza- tional values are similar and evidenced in daily activities.	Personal values are in con- flict with stated organiza- tional values.
Attitudes toward change (resistance, openness)	Members of the org. view themselves as having control over the nature of the changes in the org. and are open to it.	Many individuals are resis- tant to change in the org. because they fear any change in their individual role (may be afraid of additional responsibility).
Perceptions of: the need for change and the potential for change	Many staff see change as occurring to such an extent that management by crisis is no longer acceptable. In addition, staff feel that the org. is open to their input.	Staff believe that change can never be effectively managed thus expending energy to develop a formal process is a waste and the current methods of managing are just as well as any other.
Individual needs (perception of potential rewards/ losses)	Staff see that they will become more important to the org.	Those staff historically involved in planning fear a loss of status.

INTERNAL FACTORS - INDIVIDUALS (CONT.)

EXAMPLES

Factors	Helping	Hindering
Historical involvement in org.'s planning process.	Staff's input has always been sought after and utilized.	One or two staff who have never been involved in a planning process feel that they don't have the skills.
Perception of choice in participation in O.D.	Staff feel that the decision to undertake this process was their own.	Staff feel that they are being forced to expend energy in the process and resent it.
Willingness to work for change	Staff see the management change as responsibility of everyone.	Staff perceive the need for change but enjoy complaining rather than working on solutions.
Attitudes toward the organization	Staff view quality service delivery as a result of overall organization functioning.	Individuals view a direct dichotomy between service delivery and org. functioning.
Other		Faulty design of the change to be undertaken -- team didn't fully understand the critical problem and thus goals and objectives had little to do with what actually needed to happen.

A CHECKLIST OF FACTORS
THAT MAY IMPACT AN O.D. SYSTEM
INTERNAL FACTORS - RELATED TO THE ORGANIZATION

EXAMPLES

Factors	Helping	Hindering
Structure	Lines of authority are clearly drawn throughout the org.	Org.'s management is described in one way but actualized in another.
Roles and Responsibilities (definition, clarity)	Clearly defined job descriptions and mechanisms for accountability.	Stated roles (job descriptions) are different than what expectations exist and therefore leads to confusion.
Policies	Org. has written policy statements and a clear decision making process for the update of old and creation of new policies.	The process for making policy decisions is closed and/or confused.
Values	Org.'s stated values are reflected in policies and procedures.	There is no agreement as to the organizational values, philosophy.
Relationship to the community	The org. has relationships with the community which enable it to gather information about the community needs and resources.	The org. pays little attention to the needs in the community outside of the client population making any O.D. effort non-responsive to the community.
Resources	The org. consists of individuals with many different backgrounds -- various sets of skills, information and resources.	Due to lack of organizational clarity, team could not identify internal resources.
Channels of communications (formal, informal)	Channels of communication are so effective that all staff receive information at roughly the same time.	Key individuals are consistently circumvented in the transfer of information.

INTERNAL FACTORS - ORGANIZATION (CONT.)

EXAMPLES

Factors	Helping	Hindering
History (purpose, activities)	There is utilization of information of the org.'s history to add insight into current activities.	Organization has had no experience in handling the problem at hand and is immobilized by that fact.
History - the openness to change	Crisis in the past necessitated the use of a planning process which resulted in more than the resolution of that crisis.	Past attempts at formal planning have produced negative results (changes too big for the org. to handle, staff terminations, etc.)
Relationship between org.'s components	Org. is designed so that components do not have to compete for resources.	One component is not successfully integrated into the org., thus, its resources are inaccessible to the rest of the org.
Leadership styles	The sanctioned and rewarded leadership style is that of the creative thinker/change agent.	The leadership style sanctioned and rewarded is inconsistent with the stated values and philosophy of the organization.
Extent of knowledge regarding current programming	Organization makes explicit use of information skills and resources throughout the org.	Org. is designed in such a way that services are seen as independent from one another and the administration, therefore, no need to share information.

A CHECKLIST OF FACTORS
THAT MAY IMPACT AN O.D. SYSTEM
EXTERNAL FACTORS - RELATED TO YDB

EXAMPLES


Factors	Helping	Hindering
Information flow	There is regular communication between org. and SAYA regarding programming issues.	There is printed material at YDB regarding programming that is not distributed in a uniform fashion.
Accessibility	T/TA resources have been used for grantee exchange events thereby increasing the accessibility of the skills, information and resources of other grantees.	Organization did not understand the process for accessing T/TA resources.
Reliability	Over the last four years, YDB has subscribed to the four goals of the Runaway Youth Act.	Reimbursement for training was slow in coming.
Responsiveness	SAYA has consistently found answers to questions raised.	SAYA and T/TA staff are often out of the office and can't respond immediately.
Resources	Training resources were available through T/TA Contractor.	Money resources (YDB, T/TA) don't cover full costs.
Historical relationships with your organization	Due to outstanding performance in the past, org. is allowed a great deal of flexibility by YDB.	Poor performance in the past still colors SAYA's perception of the org.
Organization's perceptions	Organization views T/TA staff as having the expertise to respond to needs.	Org. perceives conflict between SAYA and T/TA staff affecting the allocation of resources.
Regulations (current expectations, proposed)	YDB's program performance standards serve as a developmental framework for the organization.	Organization was forced to participate in the T/TA effort.
Other	YDB Central and regional personnel advocate for programs on the local, state and federal level.	

**A CHECKLIST OF FACTORS
THAT MAY IMPACT AN O.D. SYSTEM
EXTERNAL FACTORS - OUTSIDE YDB**

EXAMPLES

Factors	Helping	Hindering
Understanding of your org.	Agencies use org. in an appropriate manner.	Community doesn't know the org. exists.
Perceptions of your org.	Org. is viewed as the expert in youth problems	Neighbors view the org. as encouraging youth to runaway
Information flow	National Network newsletter provides useful information on national issues.	Agencies that serve the same clients make changes in policies without informing your org.
Accessibility	A member of the org. sits on an advisory committee to an agency serving the same clients.	Org. doesn't have access to the state decision making process on youth and family issues.
Reliability	Linkages have been established which makes coordination functional and reliable.	Coordination is dependent upon informal personal relationships.
Responsiveness	Org. maintains an emergency back-up system of other agencies.	State is consistently behind in purchase of care payments.
Resources	Org. receives United Way funds.	Org. is excluded from any local funding initiative because of stated philosophy.
Historical relationship with org.	An old employee now works for an agency in a position to give resources to organization.	Org. was misrepresented to agencies in terms of service delivery - and misconceptions persist.
Regulations, Laws (current, proposed)	The intent of the deinstitutionalization legislations.	State law does not allow anyone under 18 to serve on boards of directors.
Policies	International Year of the Child and attendant publicity for youth and family problems	State funding for human resources is cut.

You
Are
Here



INTRODUCTION TO PHASE IV: EVALUATION

In presenting a model for organizational development in Phases I, II, and III, it was indicated that evaluation was an important aspect of this model. Further, it was stated that evaluation, like the other phases, consists of three distinct steps: assessment, analysis, and strategy design.


In general, this evaluation design is intended to determine the level of accomplishment in the Initiation, Planning, and Implementation phases of the Organization Development Model. It will serve to answer three basic research questions about each of these phases:

- What activities were involved in your organizational development efforts?
- What were the results of your OD efforts?
- What factors impacted the activities and results of your OD efforts?

The specific steps required to answer these questions entail the following:

1. Assessment: the gathering of information regarding the activities and outcomes of the OD effort in your organization. The collection of data systematically, and an assessment framework developed to aid in the collection and in the organization of this data.
2. Analysis: using a given format that forces a reorganization of of the data collected in the previous step as well as the organization of new data. Forming some conclusions as to the attempts to create and manage change within your organization.
3. Strategy Design: the development of a strategy or approach for the utilization of information generated by the assessment and analysis steps.

The evaluation model proposed comprehensively reviews an organization's formal attempts at Organizational Development. However, it should be kept in mind that change may, and often does, occur in organizations despite of, or without, attempts to create or manage it. For example, an organization could have achieved its desired status (resolution of critical problems) despite the failure of the OD plan. Whereas the purpose of the following evaluation is to look closely at that plan, and its implementation, and to allow for identification of factors that impact it, in some instances, there occurs a need to look beyond that plan to explain changes that may be found.



Evaluation Phase - Step I: Assessment

The first step in the evaluation design is assessment - the process of collecting data regarding the phases of the OD system and organizing this data so as to make it meaningful and to lead to a more in depth analysis of the system. It is during this step of the evaluation that you will compare what actually happened in your project, as you designed and implemented your OD plans, against a set of statements as to what was intended to happen (as defined previously in this model).

The OD Evaluation Assessment Framework provides a set of standards for each of the OD Phases. In other words, each of the OD Phases is intended to accomplish certain outcomes through certain activities. These intended outcomes and activities have been refined and restated in the OD EVALUATION FRAMEWORK as outcome and process standards.

The following example indicates the difference between outcome and process standards:

<u>OD PHASES</u>	<u>EVALUATION STANDARDS</u>
Phase I: INITIATION OF THE ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROCESS	STANDARD A: The project is ready to engage in a planning process. (PROCESS)
Phase II: PROJECT PLANNING FOR ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT	STANDARD A: The project has engaged in a planning process. (PROCESS)
	STANDARD B: A Project Intervention Plan exists. (OUTCOME)
Phase III: THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT INTERVENTION PLAN	STANDARD A: The Intervention Plan has been implemented as proposed. (PROCESS)
	STANDARD B: The project has achieved its desired future status. (OUTCOME)

At each Phase, the standard(s) completely define(s) the intended process(s) and outcome(s). This means that the OD Phase occurred as intended in an organization to the degree that the organization met the process and outcome standards for that phase.

In addition to defining standards for each Phase, the Evaluation Assessment Framework describes the significant characteristics of the process and outcome standards. These characteristics have been refined and restated as criteria. The use of the criteria serve to further define each standard.

The following is an example of a criterion developed for the first standard.

PHASE I - INITIATION

Standard A:

The project is ready to engage in a planning process.

Criterion 1: Key group(s) or individual(s) have has assumed leadership and been sanctioned for insuring the initiation of a planning process. (Note: there are additional criterion for this standard listed in the evaluation framework.)

Criterion such as this have been identified for each standard. For each standard, criteria completely define the intended process or outcome. That is, the standard is met to the degree that the organization's processes and outcomes met the criteria for that standard.

Indicators have been established for each criteria which define what you look for to determine if the criteria have been met.

The following is an example of an indicator developed for the first criterion and standard:

PHASE I - INITIATION

Standard A:

The project is ready to engage in a planning process.

Criterion 1:

Key group(s) or individuals have/has assumed leadership and been sanctioned for insuring the initiation of a planning process.

Indicator a:

The existence of an identified intervention team with a consensus-building strategy.

Indicator b:

The existence of project wide support for the project to engage in a formal planning process.

Indicators such as these have been identified for most criteria. In cases where a criterion was sufficiently specific in defining a standard, indicators were not needed. Where indicators do exist, they completely define the criteria. That is, the criteria are met to the degree that the organization's processes and outcomes meet the indicators for that criteria.

As you can see, the evaluation assessment framework is designed as a method for organizations to measure effectiveness in designing and implementing an organizational development plan. This is done, both in terms of the activities (processes) and the results (outcomes) involved in the design and the implementation. The framework serves as a guide for assessing the OD attempted in your organization by providing statements of the "ideal" processes and outcomes for comparison. These statements are made on three levels, each with a different degree of specificity: standards - which are completely defined by criteria - which are more completely defined by indicators.

The evaluation assessment instruments and instructions for each of the phases follow.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR INITIATION ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT

1. REVIEW YOUR PROJECT INTERVENTION PLAN (PAGE 96) AND THE LIST OF ORGANIZATIONAL PROBLEMS YOU IDENTIFIED (PAGE 96). THE PURPOSE OF THIS INSTRUCTION IS TO HELP YOU BEGIN THE ASSESSMENT OF THIS PHASE WITH A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT ACTUALLY TOOK PLACE IN YOUR PROJECT DURING THE INITIATION OF YOUR OD PROCESS.
2. REVIEW EACH STANDARD, CRITERION, AND INDICATOR FOR THIS PHASE IN THE ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES.
3. FOR EACH INDICATOR UNDER THE FIRST CRITERION, CONSIDER THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOUR ORGANIZATION'S ATTEMPTS AT OD MET THIS INDICATOR. USING A SCALE OF 1 (NOT ACHIEVED) TO 4 (ACHIEVED), CIRCLE THE SCORE RELATIVE TO YOUR ORGANIZATION FOR THIS INDICATOR.
4. AFTER GIVING YOURSELF A SCORE ON EACH INDICATOR FOR THE CRITERION, AVERAGE THESE SCORES TO GIVE YOURSELF AN OVERALL CRITERION SCORE.
5. REPEAT STEPS 3 AND 4 FOR EACH CRITERION UNDER EACH STANDARD FOR THIS PHASE.
6. AFTER SCORING ALL CRITERIA, AVERAGE THESE CRITERIA SCORES FOR THE OVERALL AVERAGE STANDARD SCORE.
(NOTE: AN OVERALL STANDARD SCORE OF LESS THAN 2 (50% OR LESS) INDICATES THAT YOU ARE OUT OF CONFORMANCE WITH THIS PROCESS.)

NOTE: THIS ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT IS NOT DESIGNED TO YIELD DEFINITIVE STATEMENTS AS TO THE SUCCESS OR FAILURE OF AN ORGANIZATION'S ATTEMPTS AT ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT. ITS UTILITY IS IN GIVING PROJECTS MEASURES WITH WHICH TO COMPARE THEIR OWN EFFORTS.

134

ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT FOR PHASE 1: INITIATION OF THE ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

Standard A: The project is ready to engage in a planning process.

Criterion 1: Key group(s) or individual(s) have/has assumed leadership and been sanctioned for insuring the initiation of a planning process.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Indicator a: The existence of an identified Intervention Team with a consensus building strategy/

INDICATOR SCORES

4	3	2	1
Achieved		Not	Achieved

Indicator b: The existence of project-wide support for the project to engage in a formal planning process.

4	3	2	1
---	---	---	---

Criterion 2: A planning process for formal project planning has been identified.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Indicator a: The existence of an intentional planning process, which identifies a planning schedule and planning products (e.g. draft plans, etc.)

4	3	2	1
---	---	---	---

Indicator b: The planning process is understood by all individuals who will actually participate in it.

4	3	2	1
---	---	---	---

Indicator c: The planning process is explicit (ie. written) and this information is available to members.

4	3	2	1
---	---	---	---

Criterion 3: Resources which are sufficient for the project to engage in a planning process are allocated.

CRITERION SCORES ____

Indicator a: Sufficient time (calendar time) is allocated for the planning process to occur.

4 3 2 1

Indicator b: Access to, and sanction from, those with formal authority have been granted the leadership group/individuals.

4 3 2 1

Indicator c: A cross-section of the organization's membership has shown evidence of commitment to engage in a planning process.

4 3 2 1

Indicator d: Information regarding the project's readiness to plan has been identified.

4 3 2 1

Criterion 4: Feedback has been utilized to revise the strategies to prepare the project for planning.

CRITERION SCORES ____

Indicator a: A process has been developed to use new information to make changes as to how planning will occur.

4 3 2 1

Indicator b: This information (reassessment) was used to revise/affirm the strategies.

4 3 2 1

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PROJECT PLANNING ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT

1. REVIEW YOUR PROJECT INTERVENTION PLAN (PAGE 96) AND THE LIST OF ORGANIZATIONAL PROBLEMS YOU IDENTIFIED (PAGE 96). THE PURPOSE OF THIS INSTRUCTION IS TO HAVE YOU BEGIN THE ASSESSMENT OF THIS PHASE WITH A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT ACTUALLY TOOK PLACE IN YOUR PROJECT.
2. REVIEW EACH STANDARD, CRITERION, AND INDICATOR FOR THIS PHASE IN THE ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES.
3. FOR EACH INDICATOR UNDER THE FIRST CRITERION, CONSIDER THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOUR ORGANIZATION'S ATTEMPTS AT OD MET THIS INDICATOR. USING A SCALE OF 1 (NOT ACHIEVED) TO 4 (ACHIEVED), CIRCLE THE SCORE RELATIVE TO YOUR ORGANIZATION FOR THIS INDICATOR.
4. AFTER GIVING YOURSELF A SCORE ON EACH INDICATOR FOR THE CRITERION, AVERAGE THESE SCORES TO GIVE YOURSELF AN OVERALL CRITERION SCORE.
5. REPEAT STEPS 3 AND 4 FOR EACH CRITERION UNDER EACH STANDARD FOR THIS PHASE.
6. AFTER SCORING ALL CRITERIA, AVERAGE THESE CRITERIA SCORES FOR THE OVERALL AVERAGE STANDARD SCORE. (NOTE: AN OVERALL STANDARD SCORE OF LESS THAN 2 (50% OR LESS) INDICATES THAT YOU ARE OUT OF CONFORMANCE WITH THIS PROCESS.)

ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT FOR PHASE II: PROJECT PLANNING FOR ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Standard A: The Project has engaged in a planning process.

Criterion 1: The project collected data with which to plan.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Indicator a: Evidence exists that some explicit format was used by the project to assess its current status.

INDICATOR SCORES

4 3 2 1
Achieved Not Achieved

Indicator b: The assessment of current project status yields information regarding, but not limited to youth needs, project and community services, current service impact, and client follow-up.

4 3 2 1

Criterion 2: The project has determined the critical issues affecting the project.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Indicator a: Evidence exists that some systematic process was employed in analyzing the data collected for the identification of critical issues affecting the program.

4 3 2 1

Indicator b: Evidence exists that input was gathered from a cross-section of the organization's members in the process of analyzing the data to identify critical issues.

4 3 2 1

Criterion 3: Future goals have been set. CRITERION SCORE ____

Indicator a: Evidence exists that some process was used to determine goals with input from a broad cross-section of the organization's membership.

4 3 2 1

Indicator b: Evidence exists that consideration was given to the feasibility of goals.

4 3 2 1

Indicator c: Evidence exists that goal selection was consistently directed at the resolution of critical issues.

4 3 2 1

Criterion 4: An action plan has been developed.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Indicator a: Evidence exists that objectives, activities, schedules, and resources were identified for the accomplishment of the goals.

4 3 2 1

Indicator b: Evidence exists that a broad cross-section of the organization was involved in providing input to the creation of the action plan.

4 3 2 1

Indicator c: Evidence exists that consideration was given to potential effectiveness and feasibility of the action plan.

4 3 2 1

Criterion 5: A mechanism for collecting feedback during the course of plan implementation has been designed.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Standard B: A Project Intervention Plan exists.

Criterion 1: There is a written Project Intervention Plan.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Indicator a: There is a written Plan document.

4 3 2 1

Indicator b: The Plan document is available to the membership of the organization.

4 3 2 1

Criterion 2: The Plan defines the project status at the time of the Plan's development.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Criterion 3: The Plan defines the desired future status of the Project.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Indicator a: The Plan describes conditions which would exist when the future status is reached.

4 3 2 1

Indicator b: The Plan includes a process which permits determination of whether this condition exists.

4 3 2 1

Criterion 4: The Plan defines the means to accomplish the desired future status.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Indicator a: The Plan contains specific action steps and specifies the intended scheduling of these activities and the individual(s) responsible.

4 3 2 1

Indicator b: The Plan specifies the resources required to implement the action steps and specifically denotes required staff, time, finances, information, and expertise.

4 3 2 1

Criterion 5: The Plan defines a mechanism to monitor and evaluate Plan implementation and results.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Indicator a: The Plan specifies steps to monitor the Plan's performance and to evaluate the Plan upon completion.

4 3 2 1

Indicator b: The Plan specifies some schedule for these monitoring and evaluation activities.

4 3 2 1

Criterion 6: The Plan defines a mechanism for periodic update.

CRITERION SCORE _____

Indicator a: The Plan specifies some schedule for periodic Plan review and revision.

4 3 2 1

Indicator b: The Plan specifies that the data gathered through on-going performance monitoring will be used for review and revision.

4 3 2 1

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PROJECT OD IMPLEMENTATION ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT

1. REVIEW YOUR PROJECT INTERVENTION PLAN (PAGE 96) AND THE LIST OF ORGANIZATIONAL PROBLEMS YOU IDENTIFIED (PAGE 96). THE PURPOSE OF THIS INSTRUCTION IS TO HAVE YOU BEGIN THE ASSESSMENT OF THIS PHASE WITH A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT ACTUALLY TOOK PLACE IN YOUR PROJECT.
2. REVIEW EACH STANDARD, CRITERION, AND INDICATOR FOR THIS PHASE IN THE ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES.
3. FOR EACH INDICATOR UNDER THE FIRST CRITERION, CONSIDER THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOUR ORGANIZATION'S ATTEMPT AT OD MET THIS INDICATOR. USING A SCALE OF 1 (NOY ACHIEVED) TO 4 (ACHIEVED), CIRCLE THE SCORE RELATIVE TO YOUR ORGANIZATION FOR THIS INDICATOR.
4. AFTER GIVING YOURSELF A SCORE ON EACH INDICATOR FOR THE CRITERION, AVERAGE THESE SCORES TO GIVE YOURSELF AN OVERALL CRITERION SCORE.
5. REPEAT STEPS 3 AND 4 FOR EACH CRITERION UNDER EACH STANDARD FOR THIS PHASE.
6. AFTER SCORING ALL CRITERIA, AVERAGE THESE CRITERIA SCORES FOR THE OVERALL AVERAGE STANDARD SCORE. (NOTE: AN OVERALL STANDARD SCORE OF LESS THAN 2 (50% OR LESS) INDICATES THAT YOU ARE OUT OF CONFORMANCE WITH THIS PROCESS.)

ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT FOR
PHASE III: THE IMPLEMENTATION
OF THE PROJECT INTERVENTION PLAN

Standard A: The Intervention Plan has been implemented as proposed.

Criterion 1: The Project Intervention Plan has been implemented according to its proposed schedule.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Criterion 2: The resources identified in the Plan were utilized as proposed.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Indicator a: Staff responsibilities and time commitments were fulfilled as specified in the plan.

INDICATOR SCORE

4	3	2	1
Achieved		Not	Achieved

Indicator b: Non-personnel resources, including experts, information, etc. were utilized as specified in the plan.

4 3 2 1

Criterion 3: Feedback has been obtained regarding Plan performance and accomplishment as proposed in the plan.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Criterion 4: Feedback has been utilized to update the Plan in accord with the update process proposed.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Standard B: The project has achieved its desired future status.

Criterion 1: The project's intended goals have been met.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Indicator a: The Plan's objectives have been accomplished.

4 3 2 1

Indicator b: Review of the objectives has resulted in a formal decision that the Plan's goals have been/or will shortly be accomplished.

4 3 2 1

Criterion 2: The critical issues affecting the project as defined in the Plan have been resolved.

CRITERION SCORE ____

Indicator a: There has been an assessment of the impact of the accomplishment of goals and objectives on the critical issues.

4 3 2 1

Indicator b: The reassessment of the critical issues has resulted in the formal decision that the issues have been resolved.


4 3 2 1

Assessment - Summary and Conclusions

The assessment technique, presented in the preceeding pages, was design-
to answer the following questions:

1. What was intended to happen?
2. What actually happened?
3. What was the difference between the two, with respect
to the processes and outcomes of your OD efforts?

In addition to serving as the first step in evaluation of an organiza-
tion's attempts at organizational development by providing a set of
standards with which the organization can compare its own performance,
the assessment framework also serves as a guide for further attempts at
OD by providing statements of the "ideal" processes and outcomes.



Evaluation Phase - Step II: Analysis

By this point in the evaluation process, you will have systematically described the processes involved and the outcomes observed in your OD efforts. The preceding steps were designed to guide you in answering the questions: 1. What was intended?(defined in the standards, criteria, and indicators in the Assessment Instruments) 2. What actually happened? 3. What were the similarities or differences between these two? (highlighted through the use of the rating scale in the Assessment Instrument)

The analysis step is an attempt to explore the question of why were there similarities or differences between your intended activities and results and those that actually occurred. The Analysis Framework provided offers a mechanism to more closely examine selected processes and outcomes in your OD efforts for the purpose of identifying major factors which impacted upon them.

Keep in mind as you use the Analysis Framework, that it is not an instrument that will, by itself, automatically yield definitive answers as to the reasons behind the success or failure of an OD effort. Rather, it is an attempt to organize data in such a way that insight into the dynamics of the OD system can be more readily gained. This is where you will take a closer look at those statements that begin with "because."

The Analysis Instrument was designed as a method for organizing data along two dimensions; first, distinctions between internal and external factors. Internal factor are those conditions, situations, or behaviors that are within the formal boundaries of the organization. There are two types of internal factors:

1. Those relating to individual members (or groups of individuals) of the organization: the change agent, members of the formal intervention team, staff members, administrators, board members, etc. The factors related to these individuals will largely be based upon interpersonal behaviors and motivations, such as the way individuals relate to the organization or to one another, individual work styles, and/or individual needs.
2. Those factors relating to non-human elements of the organization. These are related to those things effecting the formal functioning of the organization such as the structuring of roles, lines of authority, the policies and procedures of the organization, financial issues, etc.

External factors are those conditions, situations, or behaviors that are outside of the formal boundaries of the organization. There are two types of external factors:

1. Those relating to elements of the YDB system: T/TA, Regional, Federal, and other grantees. These are largely issues of accessibility, availability of resources, regulations, expectations, etc.
2. Those factors relating to forces outside YDB such as local, state, and federal agencies. These again, are issues such as policies, availability of resources, coordination, etc.

In addition to determining the type of factor in terms of internal or external, the second dimension within the Analysis Instrument categorizes factors as either helping (assisting in the conduct of the OD Plan) or hindering (blocking the accomplishment of the goals and objectives of the OD plan).

It is fully expected that as you work through the following Analysis Instruments, you will list factors that you were aware of and took into consideration in the original steps of the OD process. This step of the evaluation will serve as a chance to reflect on how well you were able to deal with those circumstances which were foreseen. However, there is undoubtedly new information that you gained as a result of going through the OD process. The instrument is also designed to give you a framework to organize this new data and to begin to better understand those issues which block or facilitate change.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE ANALYSIS INSTRUMENTS

1. FOLLOWING THIS INSTRUCTION PAGE ARE FIVE ANALYSIS INSTRUMENTS, ONE FOR EACH OF THE FIVE STANDARDS WHICH WERE PRESENTED IN THE ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENTS YOU JUST COMPLETED.
2. TO COMPLETE THE FIRST ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT, REVIEW THE SCORES WHICH YOU ASSIGNED ON THE ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT TO EACH OF THE CRITERION UNDER THE FIRST STANDARD. (NOTE: THE TEXT OF EACH STANDARD TO BE ANALYZED IS PRINTED AT THE TOP OF THE ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT. LOCATE AND REVIEW THIS STANDARD ON THE ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT BEFORE BEGINNING TO ANALYZE YOUR EFFORTS.) IDENTIFY THOSE CRITERION UNDER THE FIRST STANDARD WHICH YOU SCORED HIGHLY AND THOSE WHICH YOU SCORED LOW.
3. USING THE ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT DESIGN AS A GUIDE IN YOUR OWN THINKING, RECORD ALL OF THE FACTORS THAT CONTRIBUTED IN BRINGING ABOUT THE SCORE YOU GAVE YOURSELF. BE SURE TO CONCENTRATE ON REASONS BEHIND THIS STANDARD RECEIVING THE HIGH AND LOW SCORES IT DID. REMEMBER THAT A CRITERION RECEIVING A VERY HIGH RATING MAY STILL HAVE HAD HINDERING FACTORS EXERTING AN INFLUENCE, WHICH WERE MERELY OVERPOWERED BY HELPING FACTORS. THE OPPOSITE IS TRUE FOR THE CRITERIA THAT RECEIVED VERY LOW RATINGS. TRY TO LIST ALL THE FACTORS THAT YOU ARE AWARE OF. (NOTE: TO HELP YOU IN THINKING THROUGH THE FACTORS THAT HELPED OR HINDERED YOU, RE-READ THE DEFINITIONS AND DESCRIPTIONS OF THE VARIOUS KINDS OF FACTORS PRESENTED IN THE PAGES IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING THIS.)

4. AFTER COMPLETING THE ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT FOR THE FIRST STANDARD, COMPLETE EACH OF THE NEXT FOUR ANALYSIS INSTRUMENTS, REVIEWING THE CRITERIA SCORES FOR EACH OF THE FOUR REMAINING STANDARDS IN TURN.

ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT

IDENTIFICATION OF FACTORS IMPACTING O.D. SYSTEM

PHASE I

STANDARD THE PROJECT IS READY TO ENGAGE IN A PLANNING PROCESS

	INTERNAL FACTORS		EXTERNAL FACTORS	
	INDIVIDUAL(S)	ORGANIZATION	INSIDE YDB	OUTSIDE YDB
HELPING FACTORS				
HINDERING FACTORS				

ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT

IDENTIFICATION OF FACTORS IMPACTING O.D. SYSTEM

PHASE II

STANDARD THE PROJECT HAS ENGAGED IN A PLANNING PROCESS.

	INTERNAL FACTORS		EXTERNAL FACTORS	
	INDIVIDUAL(S)	ORGANIZATION	INSIDE YDB	OUTSIDE YDB
HELPING FACTORS				
HINDERING FACTORS				

ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT
IDENTIFICATION OF FACTORS IMPACTING O.D. SYSTEM

PHASE II

STANDARD A PROJECT INTERVENTION PLAN EXISTS.

	INTERNAL FACTORS		EXTERNAL FACTORS	
	INDIVIDUAL(S)	ORGANIZATION	INSIDE YDB	OUTSIDE YDB
HELPING FACTORS				
HINDERING FACTORS		154		

ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT

IDENTIFICATION OF FACTORS IMPACTING O.D. SYSTEM

PHASE III

STANDARD THE INTERVENTION PLAN HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED AS PROPOSED.

	INTERNAL FACTORS		EXTERNAL FACTORS	
	INDIVIDUAL(S)	ORGANIZATION	INSIDE YDB	OUTSIDE YDB
HELPING FACTORS				
HINDERING FACTORS				

ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION ANALYSIS INSTRUMENT

IDENTIFICATION OF FACTORS IMPACTING O.D. SYSTEM

PHASE III

STANDARD THE PROJECT HAS ACHIEVED ITS FUTURE STATUS.

	INTERNAL FACTORS		EXTERNAL FACTORS	
	INDIVIDUAL(S)	ORGANIZATION	INSIDE YDB	OUTSIDE YDB
HELPING FACTORS				
HINDERING FACTORS		156		

Analysis - Summary and Conclusion

The analysis approach used above was designed to address the question of why were there similarities or differences between what was intended and what actually occurred in the processes and outcomes of an OD effort. Taken in conjunction with the assessment step of this evaluation, it yields an evaluation of the organizational development system and its effectiveness in achieving desired organizational change.

In other words, this evaluation design has focused attention only upon a project's replication of a particular organizational development process and the results of that process. The model of OD being evaluated was presented as a mechanism for effectively creating and managing change in an organization.

- STOP -

Checking Your Work - A Review of Some Common Factors

Affecting Organizational Development.

You have undoubtedly become aware, as you worked through the Analysis Instrument, that there are many factors which can influence an organization and its attempts to create and manage change. The following is a listing of common factors that you may or may not have encountered or given consideration to. There is no expectation that all of these factors will be relevant in all situations, and further, there is no assumption that this list is exhaustive. Additionally, identifying particular common factors here does not imply that there is no connection or interaction between them in the "real world." It is hoped that this list of factors encountered in other organizations will assist you in identifying and understanding those influences present in your organization as you engaged in planned change.

For purposes of this checklist, examples of specific helping and hindering factors have been given for each of the categories used in the Analysis Instrument.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR CHECKING YOUR ANALYSIS

1. READ THE CHECKLIST THROUGH ONCE TO FAMILIARIZE YOURSELF WITH IT.
2. REVIEW YOUR ANALYSIS INSTRUMENTS, ONE AT A TIME, QUICKLY SKIMMING THE INSTRUMENTS AND THE CHECKLIST. IDENTIFY FACTORS (AND/OR RESTATE YOURS MORE CLEARLY). ADD MISSING ONES.

A CHECKLIST OF FACTORS
THAT MAY IMPACT AN O.D. SYSTEM
INTERNAL FACTORS - RELATED TO INDIVIDUALS

EXAMPLES

Factors	Helping	Hindering
Commitment (to the org., to others)	There is a prior agreement among all staff that org. growth is happening too rapidly and haphazardly and needed to be managed more effectively.	Staff are only committed to individual roles, not total organization.
Authority (formal, informal)	Those with formal authority are willing to delegate authority necessary to carry out specific jobs.	There is conflict between those individuals with authority positions.
Relationships (formal, informal, influence rela- tionships)	Chair of the team is an informal leader in the org.	Intervention team members are not well respected by staff.
Values	Stated personal and organiza- tional values are similar and evidenced in daily activities.	Personal values are in con- flict with stated organiza- tional values.
Attitudes toward change (resistance, openness)	Members of the org. view themselves as having control over the nature of the changes in the org. and are open to it.	Many individuals are resis- tant to change in the org. because they fear any change in their individual role (may be afraid of additional responsibility).
Perceptions of: the need for change and the potential for change	Many staff see change as occurring to such an extent that management by crisis is no longer acceptable. In addition, staff feel that the org. is open to their input.	Staff believe that change can never be effectively managed thus expending energy to develop a formal process is a waste and the current methods of managing are just as well as any other.
Individual needs (perception of potential rewards/ losses)	Staff see that they will become more important to the org.	Those staff historically involved in planning fear a loss of status.

INTERNAL FACTORS - INDIVIDUALS (CONT.)

EXAMPLES

Factors	Helping	Hindering
Historical involvement in org.'s planning process.	Staff's input has always been sought after and utilized.	One or two staff who have never been involved in a planning process feel that they don't have the skills.
Perception of choice in participation in O.D.	Staff feel that the decision to undertake this process was their own.	Staff feel that they are being forced to expend energy in the process and resent it.
Willingness to work for change	Staff see the management change as responsibility of everyone.	Staff perceive the need for change but enjoy complaining rather than working on solutions.
Attitudes toward the organization	Staff view quality service delivery as a result of overall organization functioning.	Individuals view a direct dichotomy between service delivery and org. functioning.
Other		Faulty design of the change to be undertaken -- team didn't fully understand the critical problem and thus goals and objectives had little to do with what actually needed to happen.

**A CHECKLIST OF FACTORS
THAT MAY IMPACT AN O.D. SYSTEM**

INTERNAL FACTORS - RELATED TO THE ORGANIZATION

EXAMPLES

Factors	Helping	Hindering
Structure	Lines of authority are clearly drawn throughout the org.	Org.'s management is described in one way but actualized in another.
Roles and Responsibilities (definition, clarity)	Clearly defined job descriptions and mechanisms for accountability.	Stated roles (job descriptions) are different than what expectations exist and therefore leads to confusion.
Policies	Org. has written policy statements and a clear decision making process for the update of old and creation of new policies.	The process for making policy decisions is closed and/or confused.
Values	Org.'s stated values are reflected in policies and procedures.	There is no agreement as to the organizational values, philosophy.
Relationship to the community	The org. has relationships with the community which enable it to gather information about the community needs and resources.	The org. pays little attention to the needs in the community outside of the client population making any O.D. effort non-responsive to the community.
Resources	The org. consists of individuals with many different backgrounds -- various sets of skills, information and resources.	Due to lack of organizational clarity, team could not identify internal resources.
Channels of communications (formal, informal)	Channels of communication are so effective that all staff receive information at roughly the same time.	Key individuals are consistently circumvented in the transfer of information.

INTERNAL FACTORS - ORGANIZATION (CONT.)

EXAMPLES

Factors	Helping	Hindering
History (purpose, activities)	There is utilization of information of the org.'s history to add insight into current activities.	Organization has had no experience in handling the problem at hand and is immobilized by that fact.
History - the openness to change	Crisis in the past necessitated the use of a planning process which resulted in more than the resolution of that crisis.	Past attempts at formal planning have produced negative results (changes too big for the org. to handle, staff terminations, etc.)
Relationship between org.'s components	Org. is designed so that components do not have to compete for resources.	One component is not successfully integrated into the org., thus, its resources are inaccessible to the rest of the org.
Leadership styles	The sanctioned and rewarded leadership style is that of the creative thinker/change agent.	The leadership style sanctioned and rewarded is inconsistent with the stated values and philosophy of the organization.
Extent of knowledge regarding current programming	Organization makes explicit use of information skills and resources throughout the org.	Org. is designed in such a way that services are seen as independent from one another and the administration, therefore, no need to share information.

**A CHECKLIST OF FACTORS
THAT MAY IMPACT AN O.D. SYSTEM
EXTERNAL FACTORS - RELATED TO YDB**


EXAMPLES

Factors	Helping	Hindering
Information flow	There is regular communication between org. and SAYA regarding programming issues.	There is printed material at YDB regarding programming that is not distributed in a uniform fashion.
Accessibility	T/TA resources have been used for grantee exchange events thereby increasing the accessibility of the skills, information and resources of other grantees.	Organization did not understand the process for accessing T/TA resources.
Reliability	Over the last four years, YDB has subscribed to the four goals of the Runaway Youth Act.	Reimbursement for training was slow in coming.
Responsiveness	SAYA has consistently found answers to questions raised.	SAYA and T/TA staff are often out of the office and can't respond immediately.
Resources	Training resources were available through T/TA Contractor.	Money resources (YDB,T/TA) don't cover full costs.
Historical relationships with your organization	Due to outstanding performance in the past, org. is allowed a great deal of flexibility by YDB.	Poor performance in the past still colors SAYA's perception of the org.
Organization's perceptions	Organization views T/TA staff as having the expertise to respond to needs.	Org. perceives conflict between SAYA and T/TA staff affecting the allocation of resources.
Regulations (current expectations,proposed)	YDB's program performance standards serve as a developmental framework for the organization.	Organization was forced to participate in the T/TA effort.
Other	YDB Central and regional personnel advocate for programs on the local, state and federal level.	

A CHECKLIST OF FACTORS
THAT MAY IMPACT AN O.D. SYSTEM:
EXTERNAL FACTORS - OUTSIDE YDB

EXAMPLES

Factors	Helping	Hindering
Understanding of your org.	Agencies use org. in an appropriate manner.	Community doesn't know the org. exists.
Perceptions of your org.	Org. is viewed as the expert in youth problems	Neighbors view the org. as encouraging youth to runaway
Information flow	National Network newsletter provides useful information on national issues.	Agencies that serve the same clients make changes in policies without informing your org.
Accessibility	A member of the org. sits on an advisory committee to an agency serving the same clients.	Org. doesn't have access to the state decision making process on youth and family issues.
Reliability	Linkages have been established which makes coordination functional and reliable.	Coordination is dependent upon informal personal relationships.
Responsiveness	Org. maintains an emergency back-up system of other agencies.	State is consistently behind in purchase of care payments.
Resources	Org. receives United Way funds.	Org. is excluded from any local funding initiative because of stated philosophy.
Historical relationship with org.	An old employee now works for an agency in a position to give resources to org.	Org. was misrepresented to agencies in terms of service delivery - and misconceptions persist.
Regulations, Laws (current, proposed)	The intent of the deinstitutionalization legislations.	State law does not allow anyone under 18 to serve on boards of directors.
Policies	International Year of the Child and attendant publicity for youth and family problems	State funding for human resources is cut.



Evaluation Phase Step III: Strategy Design For Utilizing The Evaluation Results.

By this time, you have undoubtedly gained a great deal of information regarding your organization's attempt to create and manage change. Hopefully, the evaluation that you have just completed was useful in helping you obtain insight into the various dynamics that played a role in the observed outcomes and processes of this attempt. It is usually quite exciting when you suddenly gain that sense of "Aha!, That's what happened!", and this workbook section has attempted to provide the means for that sense to come about.

However, that excitement will eventually dissipate and provide no real benefit unless ways are explicitly designed to use these insights.

As we have stated, the organizational development model proposed by Aurora is a cyclical one - there is no fixed beginning and end. In designing an evaluation component of this model, and in presenting that evaluation design to you, we are proposing a formal utilization method. It is this section which identified the evaluation feedback loop that keeps the organizational development system alive and responsive.

Basically, we see the utilization of information to strengthen an O.D. effort as taking place continuously, as there should be continuous monitoring of the progress of the effort, and periodically, in that a full scale evaluation such as the one you have just completed should be conducted at regular intervals over time. In other words, information collected during the design and implementation of the O.D. system will be fed back to an appropriate place in that system, and the information collected at the completion of an O.D. cycle will be fed back in to form the data base for the first step of the new cycle.

The following Evaluation Utilization Instrument will provide a simple format to assist you, the change agent, in beginning to look forward to further efforts at planning constructive organizational change.

EVALUATION UTILIZATION INSTRUMENT

- I. HAVING COMPLETED A REASSESSMENT OF YOUR PROJECT WHICH INCLUDED MAKING STATEMENTS AS TO THE CURRENT CONDITIONS EXISTING IN THE PROJECT (EVALUATION ASSESSMENT), AND HAVING EXAMINED THE FACTORS WHICH CONTRIBUTED TO THE EXISTENCE OF THESE CONDITIONS (EVALUATION ANALYSIS), THE FOLLOWING QUESTION BECOMES IMPORTANT.

"IF YOU COULD GO BACK IN TIME TO THE BEGINNING OF YOUR ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT EFFORT, WHAT SPECIFICALLY WOULD YOU DO DIFFERENTLY IN DESIGNING AND IMPLEMENTING YOUR ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM?"

IT IS HELPFUL TO ANSWER THE QUESTION IN TWO PARTS:

- A. FIRST, IDENTIFY CRITICAL ERRORS MADE (FACTORS NOT TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT, NOT COLLECTING PERIODIC UPDATE DATA, MIS-CALCULATIONS, ETC.).

- B. SECOND, IDENTIFY THOSE STEPS THAT YOU WOULD HAVE DONE DIFFERENTLY.

- II. GIVEN YOUR EXPERIENCE WITH THE DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF AN ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM, AND THE KNOWLEDGE THAT YOU HAVE GAINED THROUGH THE EVALUATION OF THAT SYSTEM, THE NEXT STEP IS TO USE THE INFORMATION YOU HAVE TO STRENGTHEN THE ON-GOING PROCESS OF ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT.

- A. DEVELOPMENT OF CONSENSUS WITHIN THE ORGANIZATION.

IN THOSE PROJECTS WHERE THERE WAS AN UNSUCCESSFUL ATTEMPT TO BUILD CONSENSUS IN THE PROJECT AROUND THE NEED FOR FORMAL PLANNING - THE NEXT STEP IS TO DESIGN A NEW STRATEGY TO BRING THIS CONSENSUS ABOUT. IN OTHER WORDS, YOU WILL WANT TO USE THE INFORMATION THAT YOU GAINED THROUGH YOUR EVALUATION TO DEVELOP A NEW STRATEGY FOR "PREPARING YOUR PROGRAM FOR PLANNING."

WHAT STEPS ARE YOU GOING TO TAKE UPON YOUR RETURN TO THE PROJECT TO BRING ABOUT A COMMITMENT TO AND INVOLVEMENT IN A PLANNING PROCESS?

B. MAINTENANCE OF CONSENSUS IN THE ORGANIZATION

FOR THOSE PROJECTS THAT WERE SUCCESSFUL IN AN ATTEMPT TO BUILD CONSENSUS IN THEIR ORGANIZATION AROUND THE NEED FOR FORMAL PLANNING - THE NEXT STEP IS TO DESIGN A STRATEGY TO MAINTAIN THIS CONSENSUS SO THAT PLANNING CAN CONTINUE TO

• TAKE PLACE.

B. (CONTINUED)

WHAT STEPS ARE YOU GOING TO TAKE UPON YOUR RETURN TO THE PROJECT TO ASSURE THE CONTINUED ENTHUSIASM FOR AND INVOLVEMENT IN THE PLANNING PROCESS?

C. PLANNING

- 1. WHAT INFORMATION DID YOU GAIN FROM YOUR EVALUATION THAT IS CRITICAL FOR YOU TO CONSIDER IN ANY FUTURE PLANNING EFFORT?**

2. WHAT CHANGES IN YOUR PLANNING PROCESS
DO YOU FORESEE AS A RESULT OF YOUR
EVALUATION EFFORT?

D. IMPLEMENTATION

1. WHAT INFORMATION DID YOU GAIN FROM YOUR
EVALUATION THAT IS CRITICAL FOR YOU TO
CONSIDER IN CARRYING OUT FUTURE PLANS?

2. WHAT CHANGES WOULD YOU MAKE IN ANY
ATTEMPTS TO IMPLEMENT FUTURE PLANS?

174